U.S. COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

+ + + + +

COMMISSION MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY

JULY 13, 2007

+ + + + +

WASHINGTON, D.C.

+ + + + +

The Commission convened at 9:34 a.m., in room 540, at 624 $9^{\rm th}$ Street NW, Washington, D.C., Chairperson Gerald A. Reynolds, presiding.

PRESENT:

GERALD A. REYNOLDS, CHAIRMAN
ABIGAIL THERNSTROM, VICE-CHAIR
JENNIFER C. BRACERAS, COMMISSIONER
GAIL L. HERIOT, COMMISSIONER
PETER KIRSANOW, COMMISSIONER
ARLAN D. MELENDEZ, COMMISSIONER
ASHLEY L. TAYLOR, JR., COMMISSIONER
MICHAEL YAKI, COMMISSIONER (via telephone)

KENNETH L. MARCUS, STAFF DIRECTOR

DAVID BLACKWOOD, GENERAL COUNSEL

Staff Present:

DAVID BLACKWOOD, GENERAL COUNSEL MARGARET BUTLER

TERESA BROOKS

CHRISTOPHER BYRNES, ATTORNEY ADVISOR TO THE OSD & ACTING DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, OGC

RANITA CARTER

PAMELA A. DUNSTON, CHIEF, ASCD

LATRICE FOSHEE

KEVIN GOLDEN

MAHA JWEIED

MONICA J. KIBLER

SOCK-FOON MacDOUGALL

TINALOUISE MARTIN

EMMA MONROIG, SOLICITOR/PARLIAMENTARIAN

EILEEN RUDERT

KARA SILVERSTEIN

KIMBERLY TOLHURST

<u>Commissioner Assistants Present:</u>

DOMINIQUE LUDVIGSON LISA NEUDER RICHARD SCHMECHEL KIM SCHULD

Adjourn

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2

1

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

9:34 a.m.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On the record. Okay. Good morning. This meeting will come to order. This is the meeting of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights at 624 9th Street, N.W., Room 540, Washington, D.C. All the commissioners are physically present except for Commissioner Yaki who is participating by This morning we have a meeting that deals telephone. with many important matters including the approval of the 2007 Statutory Report amongst other issues.

I. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The first item on the agenda is approval of the agenda. Is there a second?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Commissioner Melendez here. I would like to include in the agenda some discussion of some personnel issues with the staff director, whether that ends up session, update on some of the just hiring an practices and some of the things, update on some of those issues we talked about last time.

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is this primarily 2 the email that we distributed by 3 employee? 4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. To certain 5 set, part of the discussion would be that. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Comments? 7 Concerns? COMMISSIONER YAKI: I second that. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor of 10 this motion as amended by Commissioner Melendez please 11 say aye. 12 (Chorus of ayes.) 13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry. 14 would it fall? Before the agenda items? 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Actually --COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: 16 After the Staff 17 Director's report, I guess. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Actually since this 19 would require us to go into closed session, I would 20 suggest that we put it at the end so that we don't 21 have -- I assume that there are members from public. 22 They wouldn't have to leave and then come back. So we 23 put this item after the discussion on State Advisory 24 Committees, well, actually, after Future Agenda Items, 25 assuming that we have any.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. I have one
more motion. The other thing is that I received
actually the last three re-charters of New Jersey,
South Carolina and Vermont really late. I actually go
them Tuesday night and I flew out Wednesday.
Actually, there was too many of those to carry and
even review. I was asking to table the last three
that were given based on the fact that we haven't had
time to really review those. We really had, I think,
three already slated for agenda that I have reviewed,
but these last three, just didn't give me ample time
to even look at them.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: If you don't mind, I
would like to handle your first motion first.
COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So the motion that's
on the table is Well, actually, the amended motion
is on the table. Any additional discussion required
for that issue?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor say aye?
(Chorus of ayes.)
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes
3	unanimously and for this second motion, is there a
4	second?
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Which of the
8	I'm sorry. Tell me again which are the three.
9	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The last three we
10	received in that last FedEx was New Jersey
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Vermont.
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: them all
13	together.
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: South Carolina and
15	Vermont. Those were given to use really late. I
16	actually flew out here Wednesday and I got them
17	Tuesday night.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Bear with me.
19	(Off the record discussion.)
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Discussion?
21	How do folks feel about this?
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I had them in time
23	to look over them and my assistant actually was able
0.4	

to go through them and outline more specifically and

1	in summary form what was in them. But I have not
2	objection to tabling those three.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is it three or two?
4	I'm sorry.
5	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: There's three, New
6	Jersey, Vermont and South Carolina.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: How may does leave
8	for us to do?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Three.
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I believe there
11	are six that would be on for re-charter.
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: There are six.
13	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: So we would just
14	be re-chartering three or talking about three today
15	instead of six.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: None of the others
17	have to be tabled by anybody else?
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: For any other
20	reason?
21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: No. The others, I
22	think, are all right.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Hawaii and the
25	other two, Pennsylvania and I forgot the other one.
I	

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's fine. Ι don't care, but I don't know how the others feel. 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor 3 Okay. 4 please say aye. 5 (Chorus of ayes.) 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Objections? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion carries 11 unanimously. 12 I move that we amend the agenda to Okay. 13 delete discussion of the subitem labeled 2009 Budget 14 under Section V, Management and Operations. As you're 15 aware, staff continues to work on the budget and a 16 draft has not been circulated for our review. 17 those circumstances, it's clear that we need more 18 time. But in addition to that though, in order to get 19 our budget done in a timely fashion, we would need to 20 have a teleconference to vote on the motion. 21 addition to tabling it, I think that we need to also

pick a date when we could get together on the phone to

22

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Could we do Vermont,
2	South Carolina and New Jersey then to move these
3	things along?
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's a great
5	idea.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: We need to
8	separate the motions, table and decide on a separate
9	date.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. So
11	the first part. All in favor of tabling the motion
12	please say aye.
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes
19	unanimously. I also move that we establish a date to
20	have a teleconference to move on the budget as well as
21	the SACs that we tabled at this meeting. Is there a
22	second?
23	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Discussion.
2	We haven't even received You're talking about 2009
3	budget?
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: We haven't even
6	received the budget yet.
7	PARTICIPANT: We will.
8	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. So you're
9	going to send that to us relatively soon?
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. When do you
11	think it will be sent out?
12	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: It should be
13	within the next few business days.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So early next week.
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Early to mid next
16	week.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So we can look
18	at our calendars and see what's available.
19	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I have received
20	communications from some commissioners indicating
21	available dates from within our suggestions and so far
22	the only two dates that everyone would be available
23	would be the 30^{th} and the 31^{st} , although those two days
24	
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That one

1	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: But I think you
2	indicated that there were some times within those two
3	dates.
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but I don't
5	know what times right now.
6	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: So there is no
7	date other than
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm not available
9	either of those two days.
10	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And it may be
11	problematic for me.
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm out of pocket
13	all day both of those days.
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And I don't like
15	those two days.
16	(Laughter.)
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: So there is no
18	other date that has not been objected to by at least
19	one commissioner.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Did we offer dates out
21	to August?
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Up to August 8 th ,
23	yes.
24	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I guess the
25	question I have is trying to get too many things

approved on a conference call is rather difficult. If you just have the charters themselves, that would take some time and I think that the 2009 budget is a real important document based on some of the positions that haven't been filled and all this discussion we're going to have on the personnel. So I think that a discussion on the 2009 budget would be better done at the next general meeting that we have because I think it's an important issue to really look at.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There's no doubt that it's better to do have these discussion face-to-face. However, we have some deadlines that we need to meet, I believe.

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We have September deadline for our budget. Even if we were to have it in the August meeting which is not currently scheduled for a general business meeting, I think that there is little chance that we would make that date. As it is, if we were to have the teleconference at the end of July or early August, it would be tight squeeze and we might be little late. But if we had Considering that our next gathering is in, recall, fairly late August -

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It's the 24th.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: It would be mean 1 2 we would certainly be late on the budget. 3 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: the 4 consequences of being late on the budget? 5 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Well, there are no 6 specific penalties and the fact that is prior to 2004 7 the agency was frequency late on the budget and in one 8 year did not submit a budget whatsoever. It certainly 9 gave the agency a bad reputation with OMB and was not 10 helpful --CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We should strive to --11 12 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, that's not 13 very good. 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We should strive to 15 meet the deadline. 16 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I was going to say 17 Lord have mercy. 18 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Nobody is 19 suggesting that we not have a budget to go on. 20 not even a question. My question is how bad is it if 21 in the spirit of Commissioner Melendez's question 22 whether we do our discussion until we don't have to 23 conduct it on a teleconference basis.

1 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I don't think I 2 It just will make us look a little bit can assess it. 3 worse in front of OMB. 4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: My only point is 5 all of us are limited on conference calls and a lot of 6 don't think we have time to get 7 conference call for talking about re-charters plus talk about a budget. Unless you're going to stay on a 8 9 conference call for a couple hours, I think it's not 10 adequate time to do that. 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And I object to all 12 I think that we've put off far too many things. this. 13 We should have far more conference calls and we should 14 be doing a lot more business than what we're doing 15 right now. Things get delayed. We have just delayed 16 consideration of these SACs out of a courtesy to you, 17 Commissioner Melendez, and I think, in the spirit of 18 getting things done, we need to schedule a date and do 19 it. 20 THERNSTROM: VICE-CHAIR Well, are 21 scheduling a date as well to take up the questions of 22 the SACs that we charter? 23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think the most 24 important thing is to get a date and then we

discuss what we're going to fill the time with.

25

Ι

1 mean, the bottom line is we may not find the time when 2 every commissioner can participate, but that's also true of our business meetings and our briefings. 3 4 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: True. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And they 6 scheduled and the people who can come, come and the 7 people who can't don't. 8 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: There is one 9 difference. 10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Or some can make it by 11 teleconference. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or sometimes not 13 I mean, I had to miss Omaha all together at all. 14 because of a competing commitment that I had. 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: As we were told many 16 times, Omaha wasn't really needed. 17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But you get 18 The point is it's more important to schedule a point. 19 time when the majority of commissioners can be present 20 and if I'm not one of them, so be it. 21 important as Commissioner Heriot said to move the 22 business and the agenda forward. 23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would object 24 I would say that it's important to ensure that

1 as much as possible every commissioner is available 2 because --**BRACERAS:** 3 COMMISSIONER Then it's 4 important that they make themselves available. 5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Given the fact then 6 that there are only two Democrats on the Commission 7 having a majority only rule for when we need to 8 schedule a teleconference, I think, is inappropriate. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That hasn't occurred 10 and we are charged with making reasonable efforts to 11 accommodate everyone's schedule and I think that in 12 the past we've gone beyond that and as a result, 13 have gotten -- We have a backlog of work as a result. 14 But in any event --15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would really object 16 again to that characterization because we can't find 17 time to meet that is why we have a backlog of work. 18 think that, for example, the statutory report issues 19 have nothing whatsoever to do with whether or not we 20 can get our own schedules together and everything to 21 do with whether or not internally we were able to meet 22 any of those schedules. 23 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki, 24 don't think that we are pointing fingers

particular commissioner, but I think that --

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm just saying that -- I'm just saying I don't think you can keep saying that it's the fault of the commissioners not being available for the fact that we can or cannot get work done when a lot of these problems that we have deal the fact that we can't make our deadlines internally. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, we have a difference of opinion. But in any event, why we don't consult our calendars to see if there's a date where we can all discuss these issues together and have a sense of harmony. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Mr. Chairman, there is one difference between having a calendar which we've committed ourselves and if some of can't meet it, you know, can't come to a meeting at the last moment, so be it. But I do think it's important when we're scheduling a teleconference that we really do our best to make sure everybody can come if that's possible. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's see if we can meet that high standard. Okay? COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Just one other comment. I think that when we were scheduling what we

call these business meetings because we didn't have a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

briefing. You know, there were a couple that we scheduled for the whole year. So those should be the primary meetings where we talk about budget and all these other things that are on the agenda so we're not competing with a briefing that we have limited time. So it's day like today that we should be actually talking about the budget. We'd more time talking about all these different things. So it's not really the fault of the commissioners.

When we schedule -- There are a couple of days throughout the year that we want the staff and staff to have this budget ready and everything else. So it's kind of not put on us. Basically, we came out here, traveled out here, to deal with business and now all this stuff is tabled to telephone calls when we should just stayed home and did a telephone call for this meeting also. That's my concern.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Not all of us is as wise as Commissioner Yaki. But you raise a good point. The budget is an important matter and it is preferable to do -- well, to have all of our conversations face-to-face. Ken, I see you. Do you have something to say?

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: If you care to -
I mean, the only thing I would add is we do have a

full slate of information that the commissioners could be deliberating on this morning. I think that between the statutory report, the six state advisory committee reports that were made available it could certainly take all morning. So I think part of the concern is had made an immense amount of material available for the commissioners and if everyone were prepared, we certainly could spend all morning looking at that. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let's refer to our calendars to see if we could select a date where we can all be present. Ι COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: can do any Monday, Wednesday or Thursday at any time including into the evening if that's more convenient to our West Coast colleagues except for the last week of July. That week I can do evenings only. I'm in meetings all day where I won't have time to cut out and take a call for more than five minutes. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Is there something wrong with the evenings? They appeal to me a great deal. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I have no objection and I suspect that it will be better for the folks on

the West Coast.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It depends on what
2	time you're talking about because it three hours and -
3	-
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. How does the
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: There are
6	inconveniences for all of us, but I'm willing to
7	overcome them and meet whenever other than that week
8	of July which again if the majority prefers that week,
9	that's my problem, nobody else's.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. August 6 th at
11	say Pick a time someone.
12	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Noon.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: August 6 th , does the
14	date work?
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What day of the
16	week is it?
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's a Monday.
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Monday. Any one of
19	those 24 hours I am available.
20	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Noon.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: How about noon? Noon,
22	okay. That's three.
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Noon what?
24	Pacific time?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. That's 3:00
2	p.m. for you, 11:00 a.m. for me. Commissioner Yaki?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm looking at my
4	calendar. The 6 th , I'm out of pocket that day.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm out of pocket on
7	the 6 th .
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Strike one.
9	The 13 th ?
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Available.
11	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Sorry. I don't
12	have my calendar with me. What day of the week is it?
13	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Monday.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm just going through
15	Mondays now.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, fine.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I'm not available
18	on the 13^{th} .
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
20	PARTICIPANT: What about Wednesday?
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The 8 th , Wednesday.
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Available.
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait. What did
24	you just say, Jennifer? You're available Mondays and
25	what?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Wednesdays.
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Mondays,
3	Wednesdays and Thursdays any hour of the day.
4	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I don't think I
5	can make it on the 8^{th} , but I have no idea.
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Available.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The 9 th ?
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Available.
9	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I may be moving on
10	the 8^{th} and 9^{th} . I don't know.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: You tell those folks
12	they're going to have wait. You have a conference to
13	You're available?
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I have a court thing
17	that's going to go the $8^{ ext{th}}$ and the $9^{ ext{th}}$ and $10^{ ext{th}}$.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What dates are
19	your available?
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I was available the
21	13 th .
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. How does your
23	
	schedule look for the $15^{ m th}$ which is a Wednesday?
24	schedule look for the 15 th which is a Wednesday? COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. I'm in

	24
1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What about in the
2	evening?
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: It's labor
4	negotiations. So I don't know how long it's going to
5	last.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I understand. The
7	16 th ?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The 16 th , yes.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that a yes?
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Gail?
12	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I can't get but I
13	can't tell when school starts. But I think we can
14	assume that, yes, I'm available.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Ken?
16	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes, the 16 th is
17	fine.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.
19	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I can't do it at
20	11:00 a.m., but I can do it any other time, either
21	earlier than 11:00 a.m. or late in the afternoon.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Vice-Chair
23	Thernstrom.
24	(Off the record discussion in background.)

1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Look. My August
2	schedule is so up in the air that just do it without
3	me.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
5	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And I hope to be
6	there.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The date is the 16^{th} .
8	Now times? You had a preference?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You didn't ask me.
10	(Laughter.)
11	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I would say 9:00
12	a.m. Pacific.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. That's 11:00
14	a.m. my time.
15	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: 12:00 noon
16	Eastern.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: 12:00 noon our
18	time, yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hell.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Or even 8:00 a.m.
21	It doesn't matter. Why is that bad?
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Would doing it later
23	in the day? Would that be possible? How about noon
24	your time?

1	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, it probably
2	would have to be 1:00 p.m. my time Pacific. I have a
3	commitment at 11:00 a.m. that will probably a couple
4	hours Pacific time.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And you can't go later
6	in the day?
7	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I can go later in
8	the day, but that's Pacific Time.
9	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: What time are you
10	suggesting?
11	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, 2:00 p.m.
12	Pacific Time, but that's What time is it for you?
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: 5:00 p.m.
14	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Perfect.
15	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine.
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So the 16th at
19	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: 5:00 p.m.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Did he say 5:00 p.m.?
21	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. 5:00 p.m.
22	Eastern Standard Time.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I see. We're
24	making our adjustments.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We can Jennifer's kids
2	at the dinner table.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So the 16th at
4	5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. Okay. So I guess we
5	can vote on this now.
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Eastern Daylight
7	Time.
8	(Laughter.)
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please
10	say aye.
11	(Chorus of ayes.)
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion carries
17	unanimously.
18	Okay. I move that we Whoa.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We're now within
20	the context of the meeting. Is it just the budget or
21	the budget and the SACs?
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Have we looked at
23	this budget before? Are there going to be substantial
24	changes?
25	(Off the record discussion.)

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Are we going to
2	have some discussion? Commissioner Melendez raises a
3	good point that we haven't seen this document before.
4	It is our budget. Do we need to carve out Should
5	this time be used exclusively for the budget or should
6	we handle the SAC issues also?
7	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It depends on how
8	long the budget discussion is going to take.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Well, then how
10	about this? Why don't we proceed
11	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Put them all on
12	the agenda.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, we put everything
14	on the agenda, the discussion of the budget as well as
15	the discussion of the SACs and if it turns out that we
16	run out of time we'll deal with it during the
17	teleconference.
18	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections to that
20	approach?
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We could set a
22	backup time for a second teleconference if we need.
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, that's too
24	hard. Why we can't we if we run out of time spend a
25	bit of time on August 24 th on the leftover business?

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't see why not. 2 But then again, I don't know what the agenda is going 3 to look like. 4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: (Inaudible.) 5 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's a briefing 6 report. 7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: (Inaudible.) 8 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Maybe those who 9 have trouble the proposed membership of the SACs that 10 need re-chartering could give us documents beforehand 11 so we know what the issues are and can expedite the 12 process. That would be a nice 13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: 14 suggestion but --15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: But are you willing to 16 embrace it? 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: No. 18 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: For tactical reasons, 19 you want to bushwhack us or there are other reasons? COMMISSIONER YAKI: 20 Mainly time. 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Let's just 22 put everything on the agenda for the 16th and, again, 23 we'll deal with it. If we don't have time, we'll deal 24 with it at that point in time. Okay.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It just does seem to
2	me that we chronically run into this problem. Maybe
3	we should routinely have telephone conferences in
4	order to get our business done more rapidly. Things
5	get put off for far too long.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I agree and, in fact,
7	
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think we do do that.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well
10	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Then we wouldn't
11	have so much of a problem scheduling this if we knew
12	that every month we were going to have a telephone
13	conference and we scheduled it early on.
14	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, exactly.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And we wouldn't have
16	these difficulties that we're having today wasting
17	time scheduling a teleconference.
18	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, exactly.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On the teleconference,
20	we could deal with issues that we assume are
21	noncontroversial.
22	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Spillovers.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Deal with the stuff
24	that's easy.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: There is not such 2 thing that's necessarily easy. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: There's nothing easy 3 4 around here. So let's just figure that we'll do hard 5 stuff. 6 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's all nice in 7 theory, but, the fact, is that for -- I know that 8 situation involving myself and the Chair where our 9 calendars sometimes come up against clients and things 10 that don't lend themselves to an easy routine 11 scheduling them up before. It's very difficult to do 12 that. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: It's difficult but --13 It's better than COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 14 15 doing it post hoc. It's better having a date that you can look forward to several months from now. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And plan around. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. 19 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And having 20 argument against the monthly meetings here. 21 is you have to schedule your clients around these 22 meetings and if а predictable date, we had 23 predictable series of dates in which we were holding 24 teleconference meetings, they would fall in the same

category as our monthly meetings in D.C.

25

They would

which clients would have 1 occasions on be 2 scheduled around or else you would have to miss them. 3 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That may be one issue 4 to deal with, but I have a larger objection, the same 5 view as routinely doing teleconferences, and that has 6 to do with the aspect of transparency and public 7 don't participation. Ι just think that 8 teleconferences routinely where we push business of, 9 very important business, is something that I find is 10 conducive to that kind of transparency and public 11 participation. 12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, but you're also 13 the one that objects that we have to kick off early on 14 the days that we're here because you need to catch 15 your plane. So you get one or the other. You can't 16 take both those stands. 17 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And are 18 postponing today the re-chartering of three SACs not 19 at the request of any Republican. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Folks. 21 YAKI: COMMISSIONER Well, Professor 22 Heriot, perhaps if my schedule were as easy 23 manipulate as yours -- I have over time perhaps, if 24 you care to read all the transcripts for the past two

years, proposed different methods by which to deal

with the folks that the East Coast people, the West Coast people, or at least myself and Commissioner Melendez, I'm not speaking for you, I have to leave a little bit earlier because of family and other types of commitments in the afternoon on Fridays and I have proposed alternatives prior many times to deal with including that issue meeting, doing business Thursdays, doing the briefings on Fridays, things like So it's nice of you to offer these kinds of suggestions, but there's a history behind why it is we do that and why I've taken the position that I have. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Very good. Folks, let's focus here. We have a date. We have a There is a proposal that we deal with the time. budget and the SAC issues. There's an understanding that if we run out of time we will deal with that problem at the meeting. All in favor? (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion carries unanimously.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Okay. I move to delete subitem labeled 2 "Briefing Report Racial Categorization on 3 Census" from Section VI, Program Planning. Under this 4 motion, discussion of this report will be tabled to 5 give staff additional time to review Commissioner 6 comments on the draft report that were received before 7 July 5, 2007. Is there a second? 8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? 10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. I realize 11 that we're not going to vote on that report today. 12 But is it worth having a discussion at all about the 13 report amongst Commissioners so that we might give 14 input to the staff today? I just throw that out 15 there. COMMISSIONER YAKI: 16 I was told pretty 17 early on this was going to be pushed off. So I did 18 not prepare anything. 19 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Ditto. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. I have a 22 comment and I guess my question more specifically is 23 would you like my input now or would you prefer in 24 The reason I raise it is because I find it writing?

more productive to share my views and hear the views

1	of my colleagues face-to-face than to just send an
2	email to Ken and I have no idea what the rest of you
3	are thinking or if I'm off base or what have you. So
4	in terms of any report that we may not actually be
5	loading on today, but that we've received copies of, I
6	simply ask whether this would be an appropriate time
7	to raise questions, concerns, comments if we have
8	them, not the only time and place for doing so, but
9	whether this might be a time and place for doing so or
10	if we should hold back and reserve our comments to
11	send through email, fax or what have you.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: My two cents is that
13	since not all the Commissioners are prepared, the
14	exchange won't be as rich.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But otherwise
16	there will be no exchange.
17	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Why can't we
18	remedy that, Jennifer?
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. We can My
20	assumption is that we will have a face-to-face
21	conversation where we walk through the various
22	questions and concerns.
23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. That's
- 1	

fine. I'll hold off until that point and in the

meantime, I will send in my comments to the Staff 2 Director. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And why can't the 3 4 Staff Director circulate them so that we can have a 5 bit of an exchange. 6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. I'm happy to 7 have that done. In the past, that hasn't really been 8 the practice. I mean, if Commissioners -- In other 9 words, I've never received copies of commissioner 10 I've received revised drafts, but 11 never memo to the Staff Director from 12 for Commissioner Thernstrom, example, with her But I'm happy to share my 13 comments about a draft. 14 thoughts with my colleagues and I'd be interested in 15 seeing others. That's fine. 16 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Is there anything to 17 prevent commissioners from simply copying the other 18 commissioners when they send it to Ken? 19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No. I don't think 20 So I'll do that. 21 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: There is а 22 provision in the United States Code, I believe. 23 (Laughter.) 24 (Off the record comments.)

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: A real comedian today. 2 Okay. So any other comments? All in favor please say 3 aye. 4 (Chorus of ayes.) 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? 8 (No response.) 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion carries 10 unanimously. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm -- One more 11 12 word on that. I do think that there's a real point to 13 what Commissioner Heriot just raised. I mean, I've sent a bunch of comments in to the Staff Director on 14 15 the statutory report. There is no reason in principle 16 why those couldn't have simply been shared more widely 17 and I think it might be production in general to 18 assume that we're going to do so. 19 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Ι quess, my 20 inclination would be to always copy everybody on these 21 things. 22 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, which Ι 23 didn't.

1 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And the 2 commissioners can make their own decision about that. 3 If there's some reason they don't want to, then don't. II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JUNE 1 MEETING 4 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. The second 6 item is the approval of the minutes for the June 1, 7 2007 meeting. Is there a second? 8 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion? 10 (No response.) 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please 12 say aye. 13 (Chorus of ayes.) 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 15 (No response.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let the record reflect 16 17 that all the commissioners with the exception of the Chairman voted in favor of this and I abstain since I 18 19 did not participate in that meeting. III. ANNOUNCEMENTS 20 21 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Announcements. This month marks the 43rd anniversary of the landmark Civil 22 23 Rights Act of 1964: this legislation board; unequal 24 voter registration requirements; application of

discrimination of public accommodations in interstate

commerce; and discrimination in employment on the basis of race, national origin, sex or religion. All Commission staff and members of the Commission should be proud as am I to serve on a commission that helped put these laws on the books.

Also, in addition to the Civil Rights Act, this month also marks the $17^{\rm th}$ anniversary of the signing of the American With Disabilities Act. Pardon me.

(Off the record discussion.)

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Seventeen years ago, the Act was signed in an effort to bring civil rights to persons with disabilities. The Americans With Disabilities provides for Act reasonable accommodations in work situations and standards for accessibility to buildings physical and transportation for people with disabilities. recognize the impact of this important statute.'

At this point, the Staff will present his report.

IV. STAFF 'S REPORT

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to say a few words about the budget, our interns and upcoming state advisory committee meetings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

First, with respect to this year's budget as the result of attribution issues with which the Commissioners are aware, we are in a very budgetary posture. We have a very significant amount of money by which we have underspent during the first three-quarters of the year. As a result, I have been able to direct our regional staff that they may conduct as many state advisory committee meetings during the remainder of the year as they are able to without the budget being an impediment.

I've urged staff members to use this time to what training they need to take and we're looking at various purchases that have been considered for awhile that may be ticket items in the lines of communications and technology. But you should know that we are in a very favorable posture with respect to the state of funds at this time in this year.

With respect to next year, of course, starting October 1, we do not yet know where we will be. So far what news we've received from the Hill has been favorable. As you are aware, the President's budget provides approximately \$8.7 million for the Commission which would be a reduction from prior years and I'm sorry. That's for 2007. Budget estimate for 2008 is \$8.8 million. However, the Senate Committee

1 recommendation is an even \$9 million. So the Senate 2 currently is ready to provide us with a greater amount of money than what the President requested. 3 4 The House was engaged in deliberations 5 during the course of this week including yesterday. 6 I've not yet heard a word on that. There is a 7 question, of course, as to whether the President would 8 sign whatever appropriations bill is decided. But at 9 least in terms of the Senate, the figure looks good 10 and we'll keep you posted as it's developed. 11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Didn't you hear, Ken, 12 that Congress was going to attach the Iraq troop 13 withdrawal to our budget? (Laughter.) 14 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So it looks like continuing resolutions. 16 17 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Very good. 18 (Laughter.) 19 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We will be having 20 a number of state advisory committee meetings over the 21 course of this summer and early fall. I'd like to 22 mention a few of them to you to encourage if you are 23 able to attend any of them, please let me 24 Certainly, it would be appreciated as a gesture in the

event that any commissioner were available.

On August 8th, the Alabama State Advisory Committee will conduct program meet to planning activities for rights activities and future civil they'll have a number of substantive issues that they'll be discussing in Birmingham. On August 13th, the Mississippi State Advisory Committee will On August 29^{th} , the meeting in Jackson, Mississippi. Georgia State Advisory Committee will be meeting in Atlanta.

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: What date was that again?

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: That's August 29 in Atlanta. The Tennessee State Advisory Committee is looking at a potential meeting on August 6th. There are a number of other potential meetings that would come up later in the summer that are still being discussed by the state advisory committee members.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: A question for the staff director. If we were to attend any of these have official meetings, we would to do SO on Commission business or if we went there on our own, would that be constituted as volunteer time which is Do we have any interpretation on that? these meetings teleconference And then are all accessible?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: First, let me say to provide your transportation that able officially. So you could do it in your official capacity. Ιf you're asking whether you voluntarily do it out of your pocket, I think we would have to look into whether that would be a problem or I don't think it would be, but I would not want to answer that without looking into that legally.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: I mean, if we went as a commissioner observing that, I would think that would be characterized Commission action and if we did it on our dime, it would be counted as volunteer time. So I think we should get an opinion on that from the Solicitor--

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: If you like opinion on it, I will have an appropriate member of the staff helping in terms of the analysis. Let me say a couple things about it. First, we certainly have a traditional in this agency of commissioners attending state advisory committee meetings in their official capacity. It has been done customarily and without issue or problem and not only that, but it has been very well received.

Just yesterday, one staff member reminisced with me about what a powerful impact was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

made by a commissioner who attended an Illinois state advisory committee meeting several years ago and it was discussed quite awhile afterwards. It certainly is appropriate and it's appreciated.

As for voluntary attendance, I can tell you we have looked into the question as to whether members of the state advisory committees can attend state advisory committees voluntarily and without receiving compensation because that issue had come up and the answer was essentially "Yes, but." Yes, but they needed to do it within specific parameters that we had to set up by way of guidance to ensure that reimbursement sufficiently their waiver of was expressed, that it could not lead to a later liability against the Federal Government within various rules regarding potential liability Federal of the Government.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. It would be helpful then if at the earliest opportunity if you could send out the dates, the times and the location for each of these meetings so that a commissioner who might be interested in attending and then figure out how it would work travel-wise, either personally or officially in the most cost efficient manner possible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We'll be happy to 2 update you on that, sir. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Is any 4 commissioner planning to attend a SAC meeting on their 5 own dime and, if so, why would they spend their 6 personal funds when we have sufficient --7 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would like to try 8 them on the official dime. I was just saying that 9 given that we're usually been in posture of penny 10 pinching, I was exploring the other option. 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: But just for the 13 I would like to attend several of those record. meetings if possible. 14 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 16 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: And finally, I'd 17 like to say that we are fortunate to have several 18 interns working with the Commission. I think some, 19 but certainly not all, are here right now. They have 20 been providing really a substantial contribution to 21 the agency. Much of the work that we've been able to 22 do has been thanks to the very hard work of our 23 interns. 24 The Office of the General Counsel

currently has four interns and if you are here, please

stand when I mention your name, Lianne Labossiere is a student at Stanford Law School and we're glad to have her and the others. Marie Laughinghouse is a senior at Woodrow Wilson High School. Kristen Manderscheid is a rising sophomore at Duke University and Nagmeh Shariatmadar is a student at University of California Davis School of Law. Is Nagmeh here?

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Nagmeh Shariatmadar. In the Office of the Staff, we have John Barone from Syracuse Law School and Johanna Flood of the University of San Diego School of Law. At the Office of Civil Rights Evaluation, we have Alexander Babiszewski from the University of Illinois at Chicago and Richard Privado of Cesar Chavez Public Charter School.

The Eastern Regional Office has four interns this summer, Lia Garvin of the University of California at Los Angeles, Stephen Kim at the University of Michigan, Diana Rudd of the University of Maryland and Latasha Whitehead of Woodrow Wilson High School.

At the ASCD Office, we have Arica Boone of Coolidge High School and Michael Whitehead of Roosevelt High. We have a high school student also in

1 the Library, Rayshon Strom and finally, in our Office 2 of Management, Tyra Hunter of the Margaret Murray Washington High School that's helping us out. 3 So we thank all of the interns who are 4 5 voluntarily serving the agency. 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's take a 7 five minute break. Off the record. 8 (Whereupon, 10:18 a.m., the aboveat 9 entitled matter recessed and reconvened at 10:38 a.m. 10 the same day.) 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On the record. Okay. 12 Commissioner Yaki, are you on the line? 13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Bear with me. 14 V. PROGRAM PLANNING - FY 2007 STATUTORY REPORT 15 16 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I have recently learned that the Department of Justice has provided 17 18 some additional information that intend we to 19 incorporate into the statutory report and since no one 20 has had an opportunity to look at these changes, we 21 are going to discuss the report. But we will not vote 22 on the report. 23 The recommendation is that in order to

meet our statutory deadline that we have a second

1 teleconference to vote on the report. So there will 2 be no vote, but the floor is open for discussion. 3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Mr. Chairman, 4 addition, the changes consists of what precisely? 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Staff Director Marcus. The changes are 6 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: 7 largely of the form discussed in the July 6th memo 8 which is we'll making technical say that 9 to affected agency review as corrections well 10 remodeling charts and tables and confirming citations 11 to the Commission style guidelines. 12 The significant changes involve ensuring 13 that all of the data in the most recent, I believe, 26 14 cases received from the Department of Justice are 15 incorporated insistently throughout the document. 16 They have been incorporated into many of the charts 17 and much of the text, but there are portions of the 18 text which do not include those 26 cases. There are 19 hundreds of other cases that are, but those 26 cases 20 have been reflected in the charts and tables and text 21 for some parts, but not all. 22 THERNSTROM: VICE-CHAIR And is there 23 anything distinctive about these 26 cases? 24 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes. They're 25 recent and the recent cases do have some different

characteristics than the older cases and I think that difference in the characteristics the is described somewhat in the text and is also reflected in the findings and recommendations. But since they are the newer cases and the newest cases are not just like the older ones. The new cases have some of the different characteristics. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. One comment, I'd like to thank the staff. I think that this is a very strong document. I think that staff has come up with a lot of information that I have never seen before. So thank you. Good job. The floor is open. Okay. Does anyone want to discuss the Executive Summary or --COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Sure. I'll begin. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have a number of comments that are not really substantive. They're more in the way of line edits, but I'd like to suggest them to the staff. The first comment relates to the first paragraph of the Executive Summary and this is issue that I noticed throughout the report which has to do with the syntax and the first sentence reads

"For almost a century following the end of the Civil

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

areas of the United States suffered under War, state-sponsored segregation." system of throughout the There is sense document segregation is something that happens to the United States. The United States suffered as opposed to something that was a policy choice by individual So the grammar of that is awkward and policy makers. I think that somebody should look over the document and try to clean that up where possible. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: To make sure that I understand, are you stating that the United States had a policy that condoned, permitted, slavery, that it --COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The United States policy regarding does not have а segregation. Individual communities and states had policies regarding segregation. sorry. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm Yes. Right. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And the point is the United States was not the victim of segregation. African Americans were the victims of segregation, but

to say that the United States suffered under a system of segregation is like you're saying some oppressive dictatorship came in from the outside and imposed it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	on us. They didn't impose it on us. People chose it.
2	Politicians chose it.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wrongly and
5	immorally so, but it's just the
6	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It should be
7	simply experienced a crippling.
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I wouldn't even
9	say experienced. Just something more active.
10	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes, it has to be
11	active.
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's not accurate.
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: It just didn't
14	happen to us. We did it.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.
16	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I understand that
17	point.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would say
19	something to the effect of and I don't think we
20	need to belabor the point. I think the staff is
21	perfectly capable of going through the document and
22	rewriting those parts. But something to the effect of
23	"For almost a century following the end of the Civil
24	War, segregation, state sponsored segregation, was
25	commonplace in certain areas of the United States."

1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Why do we say
2	"certain areas"? Why don't we say "the South" and not
3	"was commonplace" but "was pervasive."
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. Something
5	to that effect.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: California had
7	segregated schools.
8	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: You did not have
9	the same de jure system as you did in the South in
10	California.
11	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I mean, anyway I
12	think the staff working with the staff director can
13	come up with some language and since we're not voting
14	today, I don't think we need to be precise.
15	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But I think we can
17	probably all agree that segregation was not something
18	imposed on us from the outside.
19	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure.
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And therefore the
22	syntax is wrong.
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In the same vein,
25	I have sort of a grammatical problem or I have a

problem with the grammar used to describe the role of In the second paragraph of the Executive the courts. Summary, it says "An extraordinary level of Federal intervention was sanctioned." That sort of not the worst example of it. I think the worst example of later in the report. But there's a this comes constant reference to consent decrees and judicial intervention as something that just sort of happened and if you were not a lawyer and you were to read this report, you might be led to believe that the courts reached out and decided to address this grave social problem, when, in fact, lawsuits were filed and there were remedies that were imposed and the court control over school systems was part of a remedy and without a finding of a legal violation, there can be no remedy. That's the whole point.

So throughout the document, I would very carefully go over that language and I'll just quickly go through the findings without going through my comments on the whole document. I'm just going to quickly if it's okay, go through the findings and recommendations and point out some line items that speak to both of those global concerns.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Can I just say one 2 thing on the sentence you just referred to, to address 3 the damage caused by racially separate school systems. 4 to address the persistent constitutional 5 violations that those -- that segregation entailed. 6 wasn't a damage caused by racial and separate 7 school systems as much, although that was present of 8 course, to talk about damage. Nevertheless, the heart 9 of the matter here is the constitutional violation of 10 de jure segregation. 11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. 12 COMMISSIONER **HERIOT:** think there's 13 pervasively that problem through this document that 14 the "process of integration" I thought was probably 15 not the right term there in that last sentence in that 16 second paragraph. It's the court order remedies. 17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Exactly. That's 18 exactly my point. 19 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That are being met 20 with resistance. There's a bond. There's a remedy. 21 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. 22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And yes, there's a 23 societal change that went with that and that might have been resisted as well. But that's not what we're 24

talking about here.

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In this document. So anyway, I would ask the staff to go over it carefully with an eye towards those issues and again, it's not a substantive -- I think substantively the report looks excellent, but --

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: But these are substantive issues. They're not simply stylistic that you're bringing up and they're correct.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So I just would like to look if we can at the findings that begin on page 82.

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Can I make a general comment that's related to what you've just been talking about. When you -- In the paragraphs referring to pre-1954, pre-Brown, we are, in fact, talking about de jure segregation. We are talking about in my view the only legitimate and widely accepted definition of segregation.

report goes on, there confusion it seems to me between -- And I simply want some kind of note to this effect that we are for convenience sake continuing to the word use "segregation" and "desegregation." But these is an implicit blurring line of the between racial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 clustering, racially-identifiable schools and 2 segregated schools. In my view, segregated schools 3 require a segregator. 4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would go a step 5 further and ask that where that occurs the language be 6 say "racially-isolated schools" changed to 7 "racially-identifiable schools" instead of "racially-8 segregated schools unless we're specifically talking 9 about as you say cases where there are segregators. 10 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine with 11 me, but I want some acknowledgment in the report that 12 there's a distinction between de jure segregation and 13 racial clustering, racially-identifiable schools, etc. 14 that simply reflect demographic patterns. 15 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Madam Vice Chair, 16 ask on page 48, footnote 2, there is a could I 17 discussion of that. 18 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's too late. 19 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I would want to 20 know if we move it forward whether that footnote 21 reflects your concern. 22 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. Page 48, 23 footnote 2. Well, that wouldn't -- All other things 24 being equal, I would go with what Commissioner

1 Braceras just suggested which is really to change the 2 language in the report itself. 3 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: As long as we're not 4 going to approve this today, it seems like they would 5 probably have time to fiddle with the language a 6 little bit. 7 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: There is a very 8 good discussion, by the way, in parents involved. 9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's the forwarded 10 part of it in that footnote. 11 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, I'm talking 12 about Judge Carlos Baez 9th Circuit dissent. 13 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Baez. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Baez. 14 15 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I never knew how 16 you pronounced his name. Thank you. Baez's 17 Circuit dissent in which she has a long discussion at 18 that point and it's worth quoting. 19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree. So just 20 looking at the findings and some of these might seem 21 nitpicky but what can I say. I'm a lawyer. I'm just 22 going to give you my comments. In the first finding, 23 I guess the first suggestion I would make is that that 24 really seems like two findings, not one, and I would 25 separate them after "life" and before "1954."

1 The second comment I would make about that 2 finding is I would delete the last sentence, "This both 3 segregation is unconstitutional and 4 repugnant." That doesn't seem to me to be a finding 5 of fact. That's more of an explanation 6 description. I mean, the fact is that the court did 7 what it did in 1954. I think the last sentence is 8 sort of extraneous. So those are two recommendations. 9 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, and -- should 10 be changed to "unconstitutional." 11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Exactly. 12 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And by the way, 13 somebody has put a comma outside of the quotation 14 marks. 15 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. That's 16 offending to somebody's eyes. 17 (Laughter.) 18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Going back to the 19 first sentence of the finding, again just the grammar, 20 "The parts of the United States operated under harsh 21 state-sponsored schemes." It's not as bad as what I 22 referred to before, but I would simply say I just

don't like the term "operated under."

1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And I don't like
2	"parts of the United States." We're not talking about
3	Kansas and Utah here.
4	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We are talking about
5	Kansas.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We are talking
7	about Kansas.
8	(Off the record comments.)
9	(Laughter.)
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But we're not
11	talking about Maine.
12	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We're not talking
13	about Maine and Utah. That is really an embarrassing
14	slight.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So I would be more
16	specific and I would sort of change the grammar of
17	that. I'm just trying to remember. Is it in <u>Brown</u>
18	vs. Topeka Board of Education?
19	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or is it Board of
21	Education of Topeka?
22	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: <u>Of Topeka</u> .
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's Of Topeka.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. And
25	throughout this document, it says "Topeka Board of
J	

1	Education." So I would cite check and I don't know
2	that I'm right, but please
3	(Off the record comments.)
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think it says
5	Topeka.
6	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: On the
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So that's what I
8	have on Finding No. 1.
9	On Finding No. 2, again "Many school
10	districts after Brown especially in the South fell
11	under judicial supervision." They didn't fall under
12	anything. There wasn't an accident. There was a
13	lawsuit and supervision was part of the remedy.
14	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It was <u>Brown</u> , et
15	al v. Board of Education of Topeka, et al.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. So that's
17	cited correctly. So again Finding 2, I don't like the
18	term "fell under judicial supervision." Like I said,
19	it wasn't an accident. I'll leave it to the staff to
20	figure out exactly how to phrase it.
21	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: "Were placed."
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "Were placed" is
23	fine.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: there's a wrong
2	and a remedy to make sense here to say, "In order to
3	remedy this constitutional wrong" blah, blah, blah.
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think it wasn't
5	because it wasn't if the Supreme Court acknowledged
6	the constitutional wrong and then lower courts reached
7	out to remedy those situations.
8	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: People had to
LO	enforce <u>Brown v. Board of Education</u> with a lawsuit.
L1	So the whole structure of the sentence is wrong.
L2	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And after <u>Brown</u> , I
L3	mean it took 14 years before you really had in the
L4	deep South any desegregation of schools.
L5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would change it
L 6	to read something to the effect of "After <u>Brown</u> ,
L7	litigants brought lawsuits to enforce <u>Brown</u> ."
L8	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, and it isn't
L 9	vestiges anymore. I mean they just
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It wasn't
21	vestiges. It was out and out segregation.
22	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It was out and out
23	segregation until
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It wasn't
25	vestigates.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Until Green 2 comes along in `68. 3 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. So I think 4 the point is made and I'll leave it to the staff to 5 address it. 6 No. 3, I would just delete the Okay. 7 "At whole first sentence, the time judicial 8 intervention was implemented, the assertion of equal 9 rights by African Americans was met by hostility, 10 oppression and violence." And I would instead say something to the effect of, starting with the second 11 12 instances, sentence, "In some court orders to 13 integrate met with massive resistance." And then school districts weren't closed. 14 15 Schools were closed. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. 16 17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So I would say, 18 "In some instances, court orders to integrate met with 19 massive resistance. Some schools were closed to avoid 20 integration or to avoid integrating, or semicolon, 21 whatever. In other cases, Federal troops..." 22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wasn't Prince George's 23 County closed? 24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The district, you 25 can't close the district. The district is a political

1 entity. You're closing the operations of the schools. 2 I mean you can't say --The school board 3 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: 4 still exists. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The school board 6 You can't say Massachusetts was closed still exists. 7 or the United States was closed. You would say the 8 government was shut down or whatever the --9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Then the accurate 10 thing would be the school districts closed the schools 11 rather than integrated them. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Correct. 13 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Correct. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. Even better 14 15 because the whole "were closed," who were the 16 mysterious people who were closing them? 17 people on the school board 18 racists. Let's just say it. So just to read it 19 again, "In some instances, court orders to integrate 20 met with massive resistance." 21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think it's important 22 to add there was resistance included more than just we 23 don't want it to happen. There were consequences to 24 integration that included violent measures, including

shooting, bombing, what have you.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That was the 2 reference in the first sentence and that fact could be 3 incorporated into the second one. 4 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. My objection 5 to it, Michael, was not the content but the grammar. 6 And I wanted it to be clear that the hostility, 7 opposition and violence were in response to court 8 orders to integrate. 9 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: So, Michael, what 10 about massive resistance which included --11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Including 12 violence. 13 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: included 14 violence. 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's fine. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or something to 16 17 that effect. So then, for example --18 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I got your theme and 19 we can wordsmith that. 20 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. 21 somebody on the staff has to wordsmith this. 22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And again, school 23 districts weren't closed. Schools were closed by 24 school board members.

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think we're missing 2 a 3A here, aren't we? VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: 3 I don't see a 3A. 4 COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's what I'm 5 That's what I'm Of course, there's not a 3A. 6 We're missing a 3A. I think just as you're saying. 7 going through this in chronological order I think that 8 -- just in the interest of self-promotion, should talk 9 about the creation of the Commission and what its role 10 was with regards -- because it was post Civil Rights 11 Act and because it was to look at these types of 12 issues. 13 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. That's 14 a good idea. 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I think it's a good 16 However, I just want to make sure that there is 17 something in the record. I don't know if we've 18 discussed it. It's a fact, but I know that folks have 19 been sticklers about this type of issue in the past, 20 making sure that whatever finding or recommendation we 21 have is in the record. 22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. The 23 staff can look into that and see if it is or it's 24 appropriate to do.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Or if it's damned
2	plain, that it's
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: articulate
4	judicial notice.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Judicial notice of
6	our own existence.
7	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Yes.
8	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And do you know
9	what? This shouldn't be the first time that the role
10	of the Commission is referred to. It could be
11	referred to
12	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In the Executive
13	Summary.
14	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: in the
15	Executive Summary and we're simply picking up that
16	thread. I think it's a very good idea.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: In No. 4
19	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's been your
20	only one in all these months.
21	(Laughter.)
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I understand that. I
23	need to a report that you actually accepted a moment
24	of deep
25	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Deep sorrow.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Feeling like crying
2	(Laughter.)
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No. 4, again I
4	wouldn't say "Legislation was adopted." It all seems
5	very mysterious as who adopted. I would just say,
6	"Congress adopted."
7	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Do we even need
8	that? "In response, Congress passed the Civil Rights
9	Act of 1964"
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.
11	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: " and the
12	Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965."
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Correct. And then
14	No. 5 where it says, I have several comments, but
15	where it says, "while necessary to address past
16	discriminatory practices." Again, they weren't past.
17	They were ongoing discriminatory practices. So I
18	would delete the word "past."
19	And then in the last sentence, "Many of
20	these court orders have remained in place, even after
21	several decades," I would just say "for several
22	decades." "Even after" is
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute.
24	We're on six, are we?
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Five.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Five. 2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "Many of 3 court orders have remained in place for several 4 decades." That's a factual statement. But if you say 5 "Even after several decades" you're adding a tinge of, 6 I think, bias to it because the implication is that 7 they shouldn't remain in place and if it's a factual 8 finding, you know, it shouldn't be embracing that 9 opinion. 10 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, if you go 11 back to the first one, I seems to me the same point 12 applies to the first sentence. You don't need to say 13 "came at a cost." You can just state the facts. 14 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. That's 15 right. 16 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: So that you're not 17 making a mental call on violation of federalism, 18 expectations and so forth but simply state the facts 19 that you have a transfer and a legitimate transfer of 20 authority from local school districts to the courts 21 where necessary. 22 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree with that. 23 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's too loaded

the way it's put.

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's very loaded. 2 And No. 6 --THERNSTROM: "Social 3 VICE-CHAIR 4 conditions" is wrong. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. 6 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's racial. The 7 racial facts on the ground, the racial --8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Demographics. 9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, you I was going to recommend deleting that whole 10 11 sentence because -- Or making two separate findings 12 because the first sentence of that paragraph seems as 13 if it's attempting to address cultural changes society and the second sentence in that paragraph 14 15 seems like it's talking about remoteness in time. 16 I think the point is that today in 2007 things are 17 both different culturally and temporally and they're 18 two separate points. 19 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, they could 20 be merged into one by simply making the first sentence 21 a reference to a considerable racial change over the 22 decades which is reflected in these numbers. 23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You know, I would 24 simply say my recommendation would be to delete the

first sentence altogether and to have a completely

1	factual statement that just says, "Of the districts
2	place"
3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine.
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "Eighty percent
5	were placed under court order in the `60s, 18 percent
6	in the `70s and one percent in the `80s and `90s."
7	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: You could.
8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No one could argue
9	that.
10	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, you could
11	have if you wanted to add one sentence saying, "This
12	drop in these numbers reflected changes in racial
13	attitudes and racial practice" or whatever. You could
14	say something about America has changed if you wanted.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I would just delete
16	the word "social" because certainly conditions have
17	obviously changed within each case.
18	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's too vague
19	"conditions."
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I seriously
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It lets the reader
22	know what they should be thinking about here, what
23	kind of conditions.
24	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I would prefer a
25	sentence at the end saying, "These numbers reflect

1 dramatic changes in racial attitudes and the status of 2 blacks over the decades" or something, whatever. Ιf 3 you want to put something in, I would prefer that. 4 Anyway, we can let the staff fiddle. Go on. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I have no strong 6 I'm just pointing out that there should be a views. 7 change. 8 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I agree. 9 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And what. in 10 direction. 11 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I agree. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'll leave it to 13 the staff. 14 Okay. No. 7, where it says, "Over this 15 period..." I would define specifically the period 16 that's being referred to and these are the years. 17 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And the fact is 18 that it's fairly recent. It is not starting in 1954 19 if you had massive integration. 20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. And then I 21 think the last sentence is all sort of vague. 22 know, "The Latino share of public school enrollment 23 has increased dramatically." What does that mean? 24 we have data on in that's part of the record that's

relevant?

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes and, of 2 course, that's easy to obtain, the data. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 3 Right, and so it's a completely vague sentence and as it is, somewhat 4 5 meaningless I think. 6 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. I mean, 7 there can be a specific reference to the portion of 8 Latinos today in urban school systems today versus a 9 I mean, the Los Angeles Unified few decades ago. 10 School District is now over 70 percent Latino. 11 wasn't two decades ago. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And in certain areas of the country, the Asian American population has 13 drastically changed. 14 15 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Absolutely. 16 COMMISSIONER YAKI: The makeup of the 17 school population --18 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Absolutely. 19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's right. 20 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Absolutely. 21 have, what, ten percent in California of the school 22 population is now Asian. 23 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Right, but a lot of it 24 is concentrated in certain areas where they make up 25 50, 60, 70 percent of the population.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. Yes, I 2 think if we're going to say this Ι 3 thing, this kind of vague mushy language doesn't tell 4 the reader anything. 5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. 9, 6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. No. 7 would delete the first clause. Again, I think it adds 8 bias to it where it says "Despite these decisions, the 9 Justice reported 2000 Department of as of 10 school districts remained approximately 400 under 11 court order." I would just state the facts that "As 12 of 2000, the Justice Department reports that there are 13 approximately 400 school districts under court order" and let the reader draw their conclusions about what 14 15 that means. (Several speaking at once.) 16 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: With regard to bias, 18 (Inaudible) I've been concerned about the entire 19 wording of Finding No. 5 as one that know we 20 supporting evidence as to it's very bias --21 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I would say -- I 22 got distracted by -- Lisa needed to ask me something. 23 Could you repeat what you're saying? 24 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I was just going on

about Jennifer's issue with bias and the first clause

1	of No. 9 and I was saying that it seemed to me that
2	Finding No. 5 is bias because
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I agree.
4	COMMISSIONER YAKI: no substantive
5	documenting for any of the statements made in that
6	finding.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. We did
8	talk about that a little bit. I completely agree with
9	Michael.
10	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, I do, too.
11	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And I think there
12	was a suggestion made by the Vice Chair to say
13	something to the effect, something more factual to the
14	effect, that there simply was a transfer of power, a
15	necessary one, from local school boards to the
16	judiciary in order to address the unconstitutional
17	violations.
18	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: The persistent
19	unconstitutional violations.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I like that. Okay.
21	Thank you. That's twice we agree on something.
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's right.
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Let's keep that for
24	the record.

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. So No. 9,
2	again, I would delete "Despite these decisions" and
3	just state the fact. No. 10.
4	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute.
5	No Oh, "Despite these decisions." All right.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would just say,
7	"The Justice Department reports that as of 2000"
8	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "there were 400
10	school districts under court order."
11	No. 10, "Efforts were made" by whom? Who
12	are the mystery people making the efforts? I don't
13	know. Maybe we delete the sentence. Maybe we just
14	restructure it. But we need to say If we're going
15	to include it, we need to say who it is that's making
16	the efforts to
17	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. I mean, we
18	can just delete that first sentence.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes. I don't think
20	the sentence is necessary.
21	And then No. 11, I'm not really sure where
22	this comes from. I know that in the literature review
23	there's reference to this, but it's not something in
24	my view that seems appropriate as a finding of fact.

I think it's perfectly appropriate in the narrative

because we've decided as a commission not to seek evidence on this and not to ask districts why they were choosing not to apply to the courts for a finding of unity status and therefore since we didn't ask those empirical questions, I don't think we should make findings on that. But again, I think it's perfectly appropriate as part of a narrative citing the secondary literature. I just don't think it should be a finding.

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I second that.

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And then in Finding 14, again, this is just a stylistic grammar thing. I would just say -- I would delete "for each of the seven states examined" and I would simply say, "As of June 2007:" that's all we need to say and then instead of phrasing each one as "the number of Alabama school districts that have been declared unitary are 71," I mean, that's very awkward, I would simply say, "Seventy-one" --

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: So it's grammatically incorrect "is 71".

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: The number is 71.

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I would simply say 2 "Seventy-one Alabama school districts have declared unitary." 3 4 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. I do think, 5 Jennifer, just going back to the first, the opening 6 sentence to this that it is useful to remind the 7 reader that we are only looked at seven states. 8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But it's not for 9 each of the seven states examined because each of 10 these things listed applies only to one state. it's not true that for each of the seven states there 11 12 are 71 school districts under court order in Alabama. 13 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's true. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And it makes no 14 15 sense. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: You're 16 Yes. 17 absolutely right. So I would just 18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 19 say -- Or if you want to remind people that we looked 20 at seven states, you could say something to the effect 21 of "Our review" --22 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: "Of seven states." 23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "of the 24 documentation of seven states indicates" --

	78
1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: "the
2	following:"
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "as of June
4	2007: 71 Alabama school districts have been declared
5	unitary;"
6	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "53 are still
8	under court order;"
9	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: "seven have
11	never been subject to litigation."
12	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, and "19 for
13	other school districts," etc. Absolutely.
14	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. And they
15	should all read that way.
16	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. And I don't
17	know why the passive voice is used here at all.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right.
19	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: As well as in
20	other places.
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The passive voice
22	is throughout the document.
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. Exactly.
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's one of the
25	problems.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And for anybody 2 going through this, your spell and grammar check will 3 put some kind of green underline or something under 4 passive voices. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. Ιn 6 Finding 17 where it says "Larger school districts are 7 more likely to achieve judicial recognition of unity 8 status" I would simply say "to seek and obtain a 9 finding of unity status." And again, the 10 comments that I've made throughout, I think if the 11 staff just looks at the rest of the findings in the 12 document with an eye towards those comments without me 13 going through every nitpicky single word. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: You know -- Yes. 14 15 They're more likely to achieve judicial recognition 16 if are overlooking other school the courts 17 districts which --18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But they're not 19 achieving it. They're seeking it and they either 20 obtain it or they don't. 21 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Exactly. So 22 "declared unitary" is the right phrase here. -- "have 23 declared unitary" or "courts have declared unitary" or 24 something.

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. So 2 moving to the recommendations just so I can stick with 3 sort of the bigger picture things and not be too 4 nitpicky at this point, Recommendation No. 2, the last 5 sentence, it's just totally gratuitous. "It has been 6 over 50 years since the decision of Brown and there is 7 no excuse for school districts that have not long ago 8 addressed de jure discrimination and its effects." I 9 mean, obviously, I don't think it's necessary. 10 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. Anyway, 11 there is no de jure discrimination. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think what the 13 recommendation is aimed at is really the first 14 "The Department sentence that of Justice should 15 increase certain efforts." That last part, the last 16 sentence I would recommend deleting. 17 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I agree. 18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Recommendation No. 19 3, I think is problematic because the sentence again -20 - Well, first of all, the first sentence I would say -21 - Well, forget that. The second sentence I would 22 delete completely. "The Department should provide 23 information on the advantages and disadvantages of remaining under court order." 24

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM:

25

Right.

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It shouldn't be a 2 If you're no longer in violation of the law, 3 the court really has no basis to continue to supervise 4 you. 5 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. It's not a 6 weighing process to --7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I understand the 8 political reality is that it is a weighing process. 9 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well --10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait. But let me finish. 11 12 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The politicians 14 will weigh the pros and cons and make a decision. 15 That's fine. That's political reality. But the 16 notion of the Department of Justice should make a list 17 of pros and cons and advantages and disadvantages as 18 if it's okay by the Department of Justice --19 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Right. That's my 20 point, too. 21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The Department of 22 Justice shouldn't be political about it. 23 obviously the politicians are going to be political 24 and that is what it is. But I would delete that 25 I really don't want the Justice entire sentence.

1	Department providing information on advantages and
2	disadvantages if remaining under court order.
3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And I have a
4	question.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You either need to
6	be under it or you don't.
7	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have a question
8	about the first sentence. Isn't the Justice
9	Department already providing technical assistance
10	policies and procedures? Blah, blah, blah. Would
11	this be a new turn of events? Or is not the Justice
12	Department the Civil Rights Division of the Department
13	of Education?
14	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What is it?
15	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Mr. General
16	Counsel.
17	MR. BLACKWOOD: They do provide some
18	assistance obviously. They consult. As far as the
19	term "technical assistance," my understanding is they
20	do not at this time provide what they call "technical
21	assistance."
22	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Which would be
23	what? What is the definition?
24	II
	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Manuals and the
25	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Manuals and the like, right?

1 MR. BLACKWOOD: Correct. 2 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Written 3 quidelines. 4 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: All right. So 5 you're saying we are not asking for something that's 6 already being done. 7 MR. BLACKWOOD: That is correct. Now we 8 have met with Justice Department about affected agency 9 review and their responses, we're meeting again next 10 week, I will ask them to address that to see whether 11 they agree with the term. 12 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. 13 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: David, question. 14 they consider a counseling that they provide now to be 15 assistance, a more third party neutral assistance in 16 that respect rather than assistance to achieve 17 particular goal? 18 MR. BLACKWOOD: I would say they would 19 characterize it the latter. But I can follow up on 20 that as well. 21 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, just to 22 determine whether or not what we're recommending 23 what they're doing now or whether -- When I read this, 24 just my general sense was that they like to be in the

position of being considered a neutral combatant.

MR. BLACKWOOD: Correct.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And we're suggesting that they should not be a neutral combatant, that they should side one way or the other and provide formal assistance and help the school district achieve a goal.

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, but wait a minute. You're providing formal assistance to help a school district comply with the law.

MR. BLACKWOOD: I will say this. The reason that first sentence is directed to small or moderately sized school districts is that, and I guess part of this is anecdotal. But when we communicated some of these school districts we got feeling, the direct feedback, that they didn't have knowledge and they weren't even considering unitary status. It simply wasn't on their radar screen and the thought was maybe they should at least be aware that here are the factors. "Are you inclined or should you be seeking -- Do I qualify for unitary status or not?" Some, especially the very small school districts, it wasn't even on their radar screen.

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That is probably a reflection of local politics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I appreciate that. 2 understand that. And actually, I agree providing the assistance. My only question is whether 3 4 it's DOJ or a state agency that's a more appropriate 5 agency you go to for formal assistance to achieve a 6 goal. 7 MR. BLACKWOOD: Okay. 8 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It's more of 9 question than anything else, if we're really doing 10 something different. 11 MR. BLACKWOOD: Okay. I will check on 12 that. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 13 On Recommendation 14 No. 4, I think it can be deleted. To be blunt about 15 it, I think it's kind of a big duh. "School officials 16 should avail themselves with appropriate information 17 to make informed decisions." Shouldn't they always do 18 that? 19 And again, the whole question of whether 20 or not to seek unitary status, I would think that 21 you're either in violation or you're not. And if you 22 are unitary and you're not longer in violation, 23 shouldn't be encouraging them not to seek a judicial might say "take 24 finding of that. So Ι just

appropriate steps to meet the standards established by

1 the court in the cases of Green, Dow and Freeman." 2 But again, I'm not sure it's necessary to say that. VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: 3 I agree. Just 4 delete that fourth one. 5 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: For now, those are 6 my basic comments that again I think you could look at 7 the whole document with an eye towards those. I don't 8 want to go through every page, but I think that 9 basically -- That's what my issues are. 10 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I have one more 11 general comment that I did pass along to the staff 12 which is that we know too little on the basis of this 13 document about the non-litigant districts. Were there 14 civil rights complaints? What 15 characteristics of these districts? What are 16 looking at and examining in that category and I --17 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I didn't hear the 18 last thing that you said. 19 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: What are we 20 looking and examining the category of non-litigant 21 districts? I mean, are these districts that never saw 22 23 COMMISSIONER **BRACERAS:** Ι think that 24 that's beyond the scope of this report. But I think 25 it's important.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, it's 2 category that's undefined. Yes. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Let 3 Wait. 4 finish. I think it's important to point out that it 5 is an undefined category and to make recommendations 6 for further research in that area. 7 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine. 8 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Because those are 9 questions that aren't going to be answered by this 10 data, but we should point out --11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: In fact, the 12 hearing that we had on the subject, it was pointed out 13 that the inability to look at that dataset could skew 14 the results precisely because sometimes 15 threat of litigation or intervention, whether at the 16 private attorney general or DOJ stage, 17 school districts to change their practices. 18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Correct. 19 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Correct. 20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And that should be 21 pointed out as well. 22 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: So there Yes. 23 needs to be some reference to the missing information 24 here.

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. I think a 2 role we can play is to have a paragraph 3 unanswered questions or future research or areas not 4 explored and to be clear about what were not explored. 5 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That would Yes. 6 satisfy me. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Additional 8 Okay. This was helpful and the staff will 9 rework this document with the changes and the concerns 10 that have been discussed in mind. Okay. 11 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair? 12 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just wanted to point out that in lack of detailed response on my part and 14 15 probably due to the fact that I am unhappy with the 16 current situation, but more to the point that because 17 18 (Several speaking at once.) -- and because I 19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: 20 somewhat skeptical of some of the information or lack 21 of information that was put or not put in the report, 22 I was going to have -- I'm glad that we are able in 23 report because of the Justice Department 24 information coming in late because I was going to move

to do that simply because of the various statutes the

1 Commission, the course of deadlines that were missed 2 during this process that may or may not have any fault 3 for anyone on the staff just because of volume of 4 information. I'm not going to make any conclusion 5 there, but for me to conduct my own assessment of the 6 data and was taking and is taking longer. 7 So my reluctance to address objections to 8 particular findings recommendations at this and 9 meeting, it does not mean that I will not have a full 10 play run-down when we go for approval during their 11 teleconference. 12 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Could you 13 circulate those to us beforehand so we have a chance 14 to think about what you're saying? 15 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Ιf I can, I will. 16 Absolutely. 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I quess at this 18 point we should look at our calendars once again to 19 see when we can schedule a teleconference. 20 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Mr. Chairman. 21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: And in addition, we 22 give time built into that calendar for have 23 dissent. 24 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, first, we're 25 just going to write on the report and then there will

1	be subsequent time to draft a dissent to the approved
2	report.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, the usual
4	procedure.
5	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. Question
6	again for the staff director I can't remember the
7	answer to. When is our final, final deadline for
8	getting something to a printer?
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The end of July.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The 31st.
11	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: So that means the
12	dissents have to be written by the end of July?
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No. It's not
14	correct, is it?
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I don't think it's
16	That's not feasible.
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: But in terms of
18	the logistics
19	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's not
20	feasible.
21	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: getting deduced
22	that may be a problem.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: We can't meet the July
24	$31^{\rm st}$ deadline and make the changes that we need to make

1	and to give folks a sufficient amount of time for the
2	dissent.
3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's just
4	not doable.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Ken just made a
6	suggestion. I don't know if this is possible to
7	submit an electronic version to Congress and then go
8	to the printer afterwards in order to meet our
9	obligations.
10	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Well, the
11	electronic version would not include dissents or would
12	we have
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: No. I'm just
14	talking about the two-month backup at the printing
15	office and the distribution backup.
16	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I suppose We've
17	never done that before, but I suppose we could send
18	all members of Congress a
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: A PDF.
20	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We can do it in
21	PDF and we can send them how to find it on our website
22	and we can let them know that
23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I thought we did
24	that anyway.

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I thought that we were 2 pretty late and we did an electronic report and then the published report came out later. 3 4 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: It's true that we 5 did it electronically first and then the published 6 came out later. But it's also true that the published 7 version was distributed to Congress by the end of the 8 fiscal year. 9 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Does any type of 10 public notice need to be disseminated with respect to 11 the electronic transmission so that the public can 12 access it or would know that it's available on the 13 website? 14 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I'm not aware of 15 any such requirement. But we would put it on our So it would be available to the public also. 16 website. 17 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And we could issue --18 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's true but my 19 question is don't we have to apprise the public of 20 location of that since it's not in hard copy form, 21 that it is on our website. Do we have to put 22 something in the Federal Register? 23 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: No. It's not a 24 requirement and it's our custom or practice.

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So that way we
2	could allow more time for the dissents to be written.
3	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Does that satisfy
4	our statutory obligation in terms of "publishing"?
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: As long as we I
6	would argue the answer is yes. As long as we provide
7	them an electronic copy before the deadline, we should
8	be fine.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: If courts now
10	accept electronic filings, I see no reason why
11	Congress wouldn't accept an electronic submission. I
12	mean, obviously they would have to check it.
13	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We will find out
14	that.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So long as
16	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It should be okay.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We'll confirm
18	that. There are other context in which we consider
19	electronic transmission to be a form of publication.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm sure we can obtain
21	clarification in relatively easy order.
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So we have the
24	plan. Now what we need to do is select some dates.
25	So let's get our calendars.

1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We're talking
2	about July. Is that correct?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No. Yes.
4	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It would have to
5	be.
6	(Off the record discussion.)
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I'll throw the
8	30 th out. That's a Monday.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry. What
10	did you say?
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You may recall
13	that the 30^{th} and 31^{st} got rejected in a previous
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. Thirty and 31st
15	and the 1st were not
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: If I may. Ken has
17	made another good suggestion, I think, is that maybe
18	we should schedule a conference call for the
19	discussion and then vote notationally so that even if,
20	for example, I can't be on the call to go over the
21	document line by line, I would still have an
22	opportunity to cast a vote.
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That sounds like a
24	good idea to me.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections to that
2	approach?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Yaki.
5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Vote notationally?
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Have a meeting
7	where we discuss the document, but then leave the
8	voting open or vote notationally or whatever
9	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: So everyone can
10	vote.
11	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: so that even
12	the people who can't be on the discussion call can
13	cast an up or down vote.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: My only concern is
15	that
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We're supposed to
17	vote on each thing.
18	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I like to state my
19	reasons for why I'm voting no on a particular item and
20	notational votes don't allow you to do that.
21	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: But your dissent
22	will make it perfectly clear why you were doing so.
23	Is that correct?
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: My dissent may go into
25	fields different than that on the findings and

96 1 recommendations. So not necessarily. I'm just simply 2 just like the idea that in a public record of 3 vote on the statutory report that I would be allowed 4 to make comments as to why or why not I support or do 5 not support. 6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Okay. That's 7 fine. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. So, 9 Commissioner Yaki, you are supportive of this approach 10 if you are confident that you'll participate. If we 11 select a date where you can participate, then 12 notational vote will be fine with you. COMMISSIONER YAKI: What? 13 14 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: As long as you are 15 able to voice your objection to any particular vote, you would be okay with other commissioners voting 16 17 notationally. 18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What would 19 probably happen is we'd go through each finding and

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What would probably happen is we'd go through each finding and recommendation. There would be votes on each one. But there might also be edits made at that time on those. No, you go through each one and if somebody made an edit to one, then you'd have to vote on the change.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Right.

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And then the whole thing, each one, would be submitted for a notational vote with the new language and then the person who wasn't on the call would have a chance to vote on each item as amended.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And could submit a couple sentence explanation the same way they would if they'd been on the conference call.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: So far know as for don't allow notational votes submit us to statements accompanying our votes. They're much a piece of paper that comes up with yes or no blankety-blank without "by attach the way blankety-blank."

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Michael --

COMMISSIONER YAKI: And I don't know how that gets in access to the public record. I just need that clarified because even though -- Particularly which I have found out, when last minute edits are made to findings and recommendations after the fact for the final vote, frequently these are edits or recommendations that I may not be particularly fond of and would like to voice my objection to the new changes that were made in response to the discussion. So I just don't see why we just can't --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All right. Let's 2 just find a date then. Then forget my idea. Let's move forward with a date. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner 5 Yaki, do you want to throw a date out? 6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do you know what? 7 Let me just revise something. I had said that the 8 last week of July was not good for me. But if we were 9 to do it in the evening, I think I said before, if we 10 were to do it in the evening Eastern Time, say, any p.m. Pacific Time, 11 after 3:00 Ι would 12 available. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Or probably any 14 15 time after 2:00 p.m. Pacific Time. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: With that information, 16 17 Commissioner Yaki, would you like to throw some dates 18 out? 19 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Are you with us? 20 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Pardon me. 21 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Are you with us? 22 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I'm in different 23 parts of the house and I'm trying to get ready for a 24 court hearing that's appearing in about five minutes.

1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: But you're looking
2	at your calendar.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
4	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We were asking
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: any dates that
6	you would suggest.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Within which time
8	frame are we talking about?
9	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It has to be July.
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Why does it have to be
11	July if we're going to be doing an e-transmission of
12	the report possibly anyway?
13	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Because you want
14	some time to write your dissent, I assume.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
16	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We're trying to
17	give
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We're trying to
19	give you as much time as possible.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Well
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Since the
22	substance of the report isn't going to change that
23	much, we might as well vote on it so you can start
24	writing.
25	(Laughter.)

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I've already started
2	writing.
3	(Laughter.)
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You can tell he's
5	alive.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I started writing two
7	years ago.
8	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I was going to say
9	how many years ago have you started writing.
10	(Laughter.)
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay.
12	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: In fact, you don't
13	need to see the changes, right?
14	(Laughter.)
15	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: That's true.
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I need to see the
17	changes. I need to know which particular brand of
18	evil I'm combating. Okay. So next week, the next
19	two weeks are out. I'm going to travel most of those
20	two weeks. So now I'm looking at the I can do the
21	afternoon or evening of the 30 th or the afternoon or
22	evening of the 31^{st} .
23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The 30 th is
24	Thursday.
25	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: No, it's a Monday.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Monday.
2	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's right. The
3	30 th is a Monday. So if we did it after 2:00 p.m. Yaki
4	time.
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Those are the two
6	days I can't do it.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You can't. Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Those are the only
9	two days that I can't do it.
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And the only two
11	days that he can do it.
12	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Commissioner Yaki,
13	those are the only two days that Commissioner Heriot
14	can't do it. Come up with a third one.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Amazing how I could
16	pick those two days. You can't do that day.
17	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What about
18	Wednesday or Thursday of that week after 2:00 p.m.?
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That's going into the
20	first week of August. I thought we're supposed to go
21	into August.
22	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It's one week
23	though. I mean, it's a bridge week.
24	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wednesday is okay.

	102
1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: The 1st, August
2	1 st .
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wednesday, August
5	1 st what
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm still gone.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: You're gone?
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's my Those
9	were the three days I can't do it.
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm sorry. I
11	thought it was July. That's August.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. How about the
13	2 nd , Michael?
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The 2^{nd} is okay.
15	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And the previous
16	week is no good for you.
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: The previous week I am
18	on trial all week.
19	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
20	Melendez.
21	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: That's fine.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Taylor.
23	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It has to be just
24	afternoon. I'm traveling in the morning, but I'm back
2.5	

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I'm traveling
2	in the morning.
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So afternoon of the
4	2 nd ?
5	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Eastern time.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 3:00 p.m. California
7	time.
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: That would be good. I
9	won't have lunch. So I'll be grouchy. That will be
10	good.
11	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: 3:00 p.m. California
12	time.
13	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: How will we
14	discern the difference?
15	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: 6:00 p.m. Eastern
10	COMMISSIONER TAILOR. 0.00 p.m. Eastern
16	Time.
16	Time.
16 17	Time. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Okay.
16 17 18	Time. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Okay. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thursday, August
16 17 18 19	Time. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Okay. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thursday, August 2 nd , 6:00 p.m. Eastern Time.
16 17 18 19 20	Time. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Okay. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thursday, August 2 nd , 6:00 p.m. Eastern Time. COMMISSIONER YAKI: With all this extra
16 17 18 19 20 21	Time. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Okay. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thursday, August 2 nd , 6:00 p.m. Eastern Time. COMMISSIONER YAKI: With all this extra money, you can do a teleconference at Citronelle.

1	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Chik Fil A is the
2	only one I know.
3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I will say you are
4	uniquely knowledgeable about expensive restaurants in
5	Washington.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Pretty much
7	everywhere.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So that would get us
9	the dissents would be due two weeks after that
10	meeting. That puts us Where does that put us?
11	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: August 15 which is
12	a Wednesday.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. So the dissents
14	would be due on the $15^{\rm th}$ of August. Are we settled?
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's dissents and
16	concurrences I assume.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. I'm sorry.
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Whatever they're
19	called.
20	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Statements.
21	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: All Commissioners
22	statements.
23	V. PROGRAM PLANNING - UPDATE: BRIEFING ON
24	MINORITIES IN FOSTER CARE AND ADOPTION

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All right. Moving right along, we are about to receive an update on the proposed briefing on minorities in foster case and adoption from the Staff .

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That is the briefing that's currently planned for August. Ι know there's been sentiment about whether we should have a briefing in But on the assumption that we would August or not. be, we are trying to develop a panel of experts who will be balanced on both questions, both sides of the the appropriateness question of of а transracial The groups that we've adoption in the NEPA statute. reached out to include the Department of Health and Human Services, the North American Council on Adoptable Children, the National Association of Black Social Workers, the National Council for Adoption, Professor Rita Simon and the Children's Defense Fund as well as the Child Welfare League of America. all of these are available, but some of these groups are available and we are interested to see if there further ideas. One commissioners suggested are Professor Randall Kennedy and we will see if he is available for it as well.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Braceras.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, two things.

One, I was going to just suggest Professor Randall

Kennedy who as many of you know is a professor at

Harvard Law School and one of the foremost experts on

this topic. I believe he testified in front of

Congress on this topic and is written widely on it.

He has written on occasion with Professor Elizabeth

Bartholet who is also from the Harvard Law School on

this topic. So she might be a possibility as well.

But Randy Kennedy, I think, would be my first choice

for this panel for a variety of reasons.

That being said, I think I would recommend maybe postponing this briefing because it seems like we will have -- Will we have the census report to discuss at this time?

 $\label{eq:staff_def} {\tt STAFF} \ {\tt DIRECTOR} \ {\tt MARCUS:} \quad {\tt We will have the} \\ {\tt census report.}$

COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: And it seems like we have a large number of business matters and as I've repeatedly said, I think it's important to close out the briefings we've done and issue those reports before moving along and sort of accruing a larger and larger stockpile of things we haven't gotten out the door.

1	But I'm very interested in this topic and
2	I really want to see it go forward. If there's any
3	wiggle room though, I think maybe we should postpone.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner
5	Thernstrom.
6	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes, I would like
7	to make a formal motion to that effect. We're just
8	much too behind and we keep having these briefings
9	without the reports being issued in a timely fashion.
10	I'm also extremely interested in the topic, but I
11	think we should postpone it and I so move.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'll second.
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Can somebody
14	refresh me as to what we have on tap for September,
15	October, November, December? I just don't have my
16	calendar with me.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MAMRCUS: I believe
18	September is a business meeting.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: What, Michael?
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Pretty packed with
22	briefings around that time.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: It's every other
24	month. So I believe September and November are

1	business meetings and that October and December are
2	briefings.
3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: But if we turn
4	August into a business meeting, then presumably we
5	might be able to turn September into the briefing.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Correct. That's
7	what I would recommend.
8	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We could just
9	shift everything back a month.
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: (Inaudible.)
12	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I can't think of
13	any problem with simply shifting everything back a
14	month.
15	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, just flip them.
16	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I'm sorry.
17	Flipping?
18	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Flipping September
19	with October or instead August rather than pushing
20	everything back.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Business meeting in
22	August and briefing in September.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I guess we could.
24	That would mean that we would be going a few months
25	without a business meeting. So that would be the only

1 question of whether we would have a substantial amount 2 of business backing up in the fall. 3 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: But wait a minute. 4 have August business meeting and October 5 business meeting. Is that correct? 6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I have a question 7 about -- Isn't October the Border Town Discrimination 8 briefing scheduled? 9 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Okay. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I believe so. 11 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes, and I don't 12 think anybody is suggesting changing that. 13 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes. October is 14 Discrimination Against Native Americans and Border 15 Towns. December is Minorities and Special Education. 16 So if we pushed Minority Children in Foster Care and 17 Adoption to September, we would have back-to-back 18 briefings in September and October which means that we 19 would not have a business meeting. 20 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: That's okay. 21 mean, in my view, that's okay. I think it's more 22 important to clear out some of the backlog now before 23 we go further. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And I guess it will 25 depend if а pressing issue, business issue,

1	presents itself and at that time, we'll have the
2	flexibility. Yes, we can deal with it.
3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We can't go so
4	many months without a business meeting.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, we did for
6	five years on this Commission. This is all new as of
7	this year. The fact that we're getting these business
8	meetings at all regardless of what order.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We can still do
10	business after a briefing.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I still think we
12	should do some business meetings on Thursdays, but
13	that's my own opinion.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No, I share it. I
15	think that's
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I'm for that.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I'm for that.
18	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm for that.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Who is not for
20	that?
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Briefings on
22	Fridays, business meetings on Thursdays.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Kirsanow.
24	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Michael, this is
25	the $3^{\rm rd}$ thing we agree on today.

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If one more, then the
2	apocalypses will come. The will have risen. The
3	third seal will be broken.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: You're making me
5	nervous now.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: So we're going to flip
7	in sort of pushing the calendar back and if need be,
8	we will have business meetings on Thursdays.
9	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor?
12	(Chorus of ayes.)
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any in opposition?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Motion passes
18	unanimously.
19	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Also, I think
20	you'll have better luck getting speakers in September
21	than August when everyone is on vacation.
22	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. Good point.
23	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I guess the one
24	thing I would say is that there is a potential that
25	there would be a number of interesting speakers and I

1	would be interested to know if there is an objection
2	to having more than the usual four and the possibility
3	of having two panels rather than one if it worked out
4	that way.
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: If it's balanced,
6	that's okay with me.
7	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Okay. If there
8	are no objections
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: If we could do that
LO	with a business meeting on Thursday so they're not
L1	wasting their time and at 9:30 a.m. hit the ground
L2	running.
L3	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: And we appreciate
L4	the flexibility.
L5	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And the Thursday
L 6	business meetings I assume would not be in the
L7	morning, but in the afternoon.
L8	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Yes.
L9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And I would
20	like to remind the Commissioners that in addition to
21	Jennifer if you have any recommendations for folks who
22	will be good on the panel please just send that
23	information to Ken.
24	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Just one comment
25	on that. I would think, Jennifer, that if Randy

1	Kennedy cannot do it, that we should try very hard to
2	get Bartholet.
3	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think just
4	having Harvard Law School sort of raises the profile.
5	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'm just saying
6	she is the substitute for him.
7	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right. Absolutely.
8	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: If we cannot get
9	him.
10	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Right and
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: This thing about
12	Harvard Law School, I don't get it.
13	(Off the record comments.)
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Next up State
15	Advisory Committee Issues. I move that the Commission
16	re-charter the Hawaii State Advisory Committee under
17	this motion. The Commission appoints the following
18	individuals to that committee.
19	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Wait a minute.
20	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Wait.
21	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Hold on. We never
22	did the briefing report on HBCUs.
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That was
24	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: We neither tabled
25	

1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We tabled that.
2	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No, we tabled the
3	census one.
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: There was no
5	motion to do the HBCUs.
6	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I thought we
7	earlier tabled that.
8	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No, we didn't.
9	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Because I had some
10	things I wanted to say about that and we were doing
11	census consent.
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Do you want me to
14	move
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: No.
16	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Well, we have to
17	take a vote one way or the other. We can't just
18	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I thought we had
19	at the outset of the meeting.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: How about this? Let's
21	vote to table a vote on it and just open it for
22	discussions.
23	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. That's
24	fine.

1 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: What I wanted to do 2 was to move to include a few additional studies. I'm sorry. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. 4 forget about that. Okay. I move that we table the 5 vote on the HBCU briefing report, but we open it up 6 for discussion. Is there a second? 7 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All in favor please 9 say aye. 10 (Chorus of ayes.) 11 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Abstentions? 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: The motion passes 16 unanimously. 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Discussion. 18 discussion. Sorry. I haven't eaten a freakin' thing. 19 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Your freakin' 20 thing. 21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: My freakin' thing, 22 Technology. There are like three different mute yes. 23 buttons and I'm using one versus the other and I'm 24 punching them and wondering what's going on. Anyway, 25 I would support it. I would support the motion to the

1 extent that discussion is limited. It does not take 2 of further discussion particularly place further studies are being added because it was made 3 4 clear early on that if it was going to be tabled. 5 not 100 percent prepared to do a full discussion on 6 this report. So if there are discussions I would vote 7 for it only on the reservation that additional 8 discussion would be permitted at the time that we take 9 a vote on it. 10 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Agreed. 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That's fine. 12 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. 13 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's fine. COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes. I just wasn't 14 15 at the briefing and I read through the report and I 16 thought of a couple of studies that I thought probably 17 ought to go into the report and I just wanted to --18 academic studies --19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Sure. 20 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: -- that I 21 would be useful to put in there. And I also thought 22 that some of these studies interesting in are 23 themselves and perhaps more interesting than 24 testimony that I saw and might be made part of the

I don't know what sort of copyrights there

1 might be there, but that made sense to me anyway to 2 put them into the report. 3 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. How about this? 4 quess will wait until the reports are 5 We'll take a look at them and we'll see distributed. 6 how we want to incorporate these reports into the 7 document, either the ususal way or just --8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Yes, I can just sort 9 of get hard copy of documents and give them to whoever 10 should receive them and then we can figure out what to 11 do with them after everyone sees them and then allow 12 time for comments and that. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: 13 And we've tabled 14 this until when? Is this something that we would try 15 to address in August? (Off the record discussion.) 16 17 (Pause.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 18 I suggest that 19 this agenda for August, the August place on the 20 meeting. 21 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So right now, we 22 are poised to discuss at the August meeting the census 23 report and HBCUs. 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

1	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And also the
2	question of SACs that we've postponed. Is that not
3	correct?
4	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I think that's
5	right.
6	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: The SACs are going
7	to be on the teleconference, aren't they?
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That's right.
9	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's right.
10	Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: So in August,
12	we'll be discussing two reports.
13	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: That's good.
14	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: And any additional
15	business too.
16	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And I wouldn't be
17	surprised if the statutory report doesn't have certain
18	aspects of it.
19	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: And I would say
20	that if other people have material that they wanted to
21	add in addition to Commissioner Heriot's material that
22	we should be looking at that as well. I think some
23	meat on the bones of this report is necessary or
24	additional meat.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Any other comments about the HBCU report.

VI. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES - HAWAII

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: If that's the case, then up to the State Advisory Committees I move that the Commission re-charter the Issues. Hawaii State Advisory Committee. Under this motion, the Commission appoints the following individuals to that committee based on the recommendations of the Staff Director: Michael Lilly as Chair, Amy Agbayani, Robert Alm, Kheng See Ang, Daphne Barbe-Wooten, Jennifer Benck, William Burgess, Vernon Char, Linda Colburn, Michelle Fujimori, Rubellite Johnson, James Kuroiwa, Thomas MacDonald, Kelahoaa Pisciotta, apologize for mangling folks names, also Paul Sullivan, Wayne Tanna and Jackie Young.

Under this motion, the Commission appoints Michael A. Lilly as Chairman as the newly re-chartered Hawaii State Advisory Committee. These members will serve as uncompensated government employees. Under this motion, the Commission authorizes the Staff Director to execute the appropriate paperwork for the appointment. Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Commissioner 2 Melendez here. I have some discussion on this issue. 3 First of all, in the memorandum of the re-charter, it 4 says that four of the five previous SAC members were 5 interested for reappointment but only three 6 recommended and I think some of these people were 7 historically on the committee before. So I was just 8 wondering all of them why not were actually 9 reappointed since their terms didn't expire. 10 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes, Commissioner, 11 it's my understanding that of the five there were two 12 One of them did not respond to our request others. 13 and there was one whom I am not recommending based on my conversation with them because it was my conclusion 14 15 that there were other potential members who could 16 bring to bear a greater degree of information and 17 value to the committee. 18 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: How long was that 19 person on the committee before, the one you're not 20 recommending? 21 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I don't recall. 22 He was one of the Republicans. We can check. Ιt 23 hadn't been a very short period of time. 24 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The other thing is

did we get recommendations from other groups like the

Office of Hawaiian Affairs. It's my understanding they submitted a number of names and nobody off of that list actually was appointed.

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: We received a very large number of recommendations from different groups. experience, In fact, in my we got far recommendations from outsiders for the Hawaii SAC than for any of our other SACs and it's possible that we received substantially more for the Hawaii SAC than for everything put together. It was a very large volume and some of the people who were recommended to us were put on.

For instances, we got a recommendation from Senator Akaka who is in the packet and whom I'm recommending. There was another person recommended Inouye identified was by Senator and I'm recommending that person. Also Representative Hirono had a person that was identified and I'm recommending And also as I recall there were people that person. identified by, and perhaps staff can correct me if I'm wrong, the Hawaii State Chapter of the NAACP, the Hawaii Disability Rights Center and the Grass Roots Institute who are in the charter package. to include everyone who was recommended from all of different the groups we would end up having

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

committee that had certainly several dozen people on it.

tell me a little bit about Michael Lilly who you recommended as chair because many times, I personally always look for somebody that's been on the commission prior, one of the remaining board members to maybe you consider for chair. But this Mr. Lilly, I've never heard of or didn't really seem to have a lot of experience as to becoming a chair. Why was he chosen to be the chair?

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Michael Lilly was Attorney General of Hawaii. We're really some delighted that we have in this group distinguished people with a very strong background within the state and he was the Attorney General for the State of Hawaii. He also, I believe, was a Deputy Attorney General. He is a partner at a law firm within Hawaii. I believe it's called Ning, Lui and Jones and he does a substantial amount of civil rights and employment litigation currently. Having someone that stature I think will help strengthen the credibility of the committee.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I guess the other issue or my concern is that because the issue in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Hawaii on the issue that came in front of this
commission on the recognition of the Native Hawaiians,
I think that what the Commission is charged with is
trying to basically have balanced point of views as
far as who sits on this committee as we look at that
whole issue and what I find in looking at many of
these people that are pointed to this committee or the
proposed people that many of them have taken a real
strong opposition against the Akaka bill, for example,
but yet, I think there's, I'm not sure how many, eight
or nine people that, even I think there are two or
three that have actually mentioned the issue in their
application to sit on the committee. Then if you look
at the backgrounds of some of the other people, the
other five or six people, they actually are basically
against the Akaka bill. But when you look at the
remaining people, you don't really find a position as
far as supporting the Akaka bill. My main concern is
that you have eight that are basically adamantly
against the Akaka bill. You have the other eight
people that really haven't taken a support position or
anything. So you have no idea what those people are
thinking. It's just my concern that it's really
That's not the only issue I'm dealing with, but it
just seems like it's a focal issue and there's a lot

1 of people on here that basically are kind of biased in 2 that position of this issue. COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I think I would 3 4 It seems from looking through the materials 5 that there are at least four people who are strong 6 advocates of the Akaka bill and maybe there are even 7 But I think there certainly are people on here 8 who will support it. It is my sense 9 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: 10 that there are a number of people who support the 11 Akaka bill. That's not something that I asked all 12 members, but Ι would expect there be to 13 substantially greater balance on that issue now than 14 there has been in the past and certainly people on 15 both sides and again, there are people here who were 16 recommended by Senator Akaka, Senator Inouve 17 others who are supportive of the legislation. 18 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: So is there a 19 total of 16? Is that what you're saying? 20 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I believe it's 17. 21 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: So in your 22 opinion, you think it's pretty well balanced on that

issue.

1 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I don't think the 2 Senator Akaka is going to be recommending people who are against his bill, do you? 3 4 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I don't know. I'm 5 just asking a question. Do we think it's balanced? 6 know there are a few people that you can presume that 7 support the Akaka bill. I'm just saying do we think 8 it's pretty well balanced that there's eight and 9 eight. 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Overall, I'm confident 11 that the slate of candidates, their views represent 12 the full spectrum of thought and policy positions. 13 have some folks who are passionately against the Akaka 14 bill and others who are passionately for it. 15 that the debate will not be one-sided if that issue is debated within the SAC. 16 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would disagree. 18 think that based on what Charles has said, in light of 19 the members who were appointed that a majority of the 20 have already expressed outwardly 21 against the Akaka bill. I'm just wondering why we 22 would choose to have a committee that was already 23 stacked publicly against the Akaka bill? 24 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, Michael, we were 25

The point that I made is that the full range of

views will be represented. You're making a different point. You will presume that this committee was put together primarily to have a majority of individuals who will be against the Akaka bill. That is not the case.

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER YAKI:} \quad \mbox{That's exactly what} \\ \mbox{I'm saying.}$

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes, and I am disagreeing with your assertion.

I think the numbers don't lie. I mean, we say we're always worry about this or that, but to me, there are no coincidences in politics when it comes to selecting people on the committee and when a clear majority have outwardly and publicly expressed their opposition to a position, I think that is not a coincidence that if it's a majority that has been selected.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, it's not a coincidence that we selected individuals who support it and there's not a coincidence that we selected people who oppose it. We believe that it's important that that SAC have a vigorous debate over the issue and the slate of candidates that are on the table right now ensures that we will have that vigorous

1 debate. It is not one-sided. It is not how it was in 2 the past. MELENDEZ: 3 COMMISSIONER Okay. 4 Commissioner --5 COMMISSIONER YAKI: It is not reflective 6 of the general population of Hawaii. It is not 7 reflective of the fact that there is a small band of 8 people who are opposed to this and not get equal 9 representation. The committee does not reflect the statewide view of the Akaka bill--10 11 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I've seen the polls. 12 I say it does. 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Actually, if we are 14 just counting noses, we probably should add more 15 people who opposed the Akaka bill. As Gail pointed 16 out, there are a number of polls that show that a 17 majority of Native Hawaiians oppose the Akaka bill. 18 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Hawaiians. 19 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: What did I say? COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Native Hawaiians. 20 21 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Those aren't all the 22 recent polls I've seen. I'll have to take a look at 23 them.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I published it in an article in the San Diego Union Tribune I think it was last year. You can check it.

COMMISSIONER YAKI: As I don't usually read that periodical, perhaps you can --

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: As far as the individual appointments. Referring to Jennifer Benck, B-E-N-C-K, when I looked at her application, basically I don't see that she has demonstrated an experience in civil rights adequate for this appointment or activism in civil rights issues. The only thing that she's done is that she worked for Mr. Burgess in reading this and we all know pretty much where he stands as he testified here as far as in opposition to the Native Hawaiian position. So I'm just saying the only thing I see about her is that she's an understudy to Mr. Burgess and I'm not sure why we would consider her as far as on this advisory committee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Just briefly looking 2 at her application, she spent some time working for a 3 She spent some time working at the Department 4 of Justice. Apparently, she's a member of the Hawaii 5 Chapter of the ACLU. She is, and I didn't know this, 6 but apparently she's been involved in the debate over 7 the Akaka bill. 8 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: She's also been 9 active in child abuse issues. 10 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The other point 11 that I had a question about was James Kuroiwa. 12 not sure how to pronounce that. But my concern about Mr. James Kuroiwa is that he's made some really, I 13 14 guess, really taken a challenging stance as far as 15 what he says in his application. He says that "The 16 leadership of the Native Hawaiians is not doing the 17 Hawaiians justice." So he's already pretty biased on 18 what he thinks of Native Hawaiians. He also says --19 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But I think he is 20 Native Hawaiian. 21 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: But what he also 22 says is that --23 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: He's not biased on what he thinks of Native Hawaiians. He's biased on 24

what he thinks of the leadership which he apparently

1 feels doesn't speak for him which is a legitimate 2 position for a member of an ethnic group's take. 3 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: 4 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: And that's not 5 biased to have an opinion. 6 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Yes. It's not 7 biased to have an opinion. It's biased to the fact 8 that people have opinions of people we are in general 9 putting on SACs. 10 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: But the last thing we would want is somebody with no opinion on these 11 12 things and that's a person that's out to lunch. 13 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: But the other part of this is he also says that he strongly opposes same 14 15 sex marriages and provides special rights to 16 and says MSB medical and scientific homosexuals 17 replicated results that concludes that one is born 18 homosexual and that it is not a choice. He takes 19 really strong views. 20 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Do you take 21 life views in on matters that strong are 22 controversial? 23 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The other thing 24 I'm saying is that it sounds like he is real prejudice 25

against other people.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It sounds like he 2 has some strong views which many people hold. 3 happen to hold them myself, but I mean, what's wrong 4 with strong views. You hold them. I hold them. 5 Everybody at this table holds them and everybody we 6 would want to be on a SAC has views strongly held. 7 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Some of them we agree 8 with. Some of them we don't. But I think that it's 9 imperative that we have a full spectrum of debate, 10 that all the voices are represented at the table. 11 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: My only concern is 12 that would be his willingness to consider different 13 points of view. He obviously knows his views of these 14 issues and this is the Commission on Civil Rights. 15 It's not like we're some --VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: You could make 16 17 that charge about any of us. I can make it about you. 18 You can make it about me. It's -- I mean, people who 19 are educated on these issues generally have points of 20 view. 21 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: So that's my --22 You know, those two and then the other thing is that 23 with Mr. Lilly since I believe that the chair has an 24 tremendous influence in issues, I still don't know

where he stands since it seems to be that everybody

has taken a position on the Akaka bill which is Mr. Lilly's position?

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Is that a litmus test?

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I'm just asking. I mean, we're talking about positions on this issue which is a major issue. It seems to be within this that will probably come up in this discussion as to why. So I'm just saying what's your position on Mr. Lilly since you probably have talked to him on that issue.

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: As a general rule, I did not ask any of the candidates their position on It is my sense from things that people that bill. volunteered to me that there are a number of people passionately support it and а number passionately oppose it and I do not have any reason to believe that the representatives that you've made about the membership are correct. Ιf some of the things that you have said are true, it's not known to me and I'm not sure what your source is.

With respect to Mr. Lilly, I'm not sure.

I believe that he opposes the Akaka bill, but I don't think I know that for a fact because I didn't specifically ask him. And so I suppose I would want

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	to leave myself room to make a correction in the event
2	that he says otherwise. But it is my belief that he
3	is opposed and that many of the others are in support.
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. All right.
5	Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I just want to say
7	I stand corrected that Mr. Kuroiwa appears to be
8	Japanese although raised by Native Hawaiians. So I
9	believe I misspoke before.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor.
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would just like to
12	state for the record that I was reading the polls
13	referred to by Professor Heriot and I think that the
14	methodology of those polls the cleaner question
15	then asked by the poll were present in the bulletin
16	for the position of the Akaka bill
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Okay. Noted and
18	logged.
19	COMMISSIONER YAKI: So I'll let you know.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor
21	please say aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes.)
23	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to severe Bill
24	Burgess from the Hawaii State Advisory.

1	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You're a little late
2	on that, aren't you?
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
4	Yaki, this is a somewhat awkward point to make that
5	motion.
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to sever which
7	is a privileged motion which does not require a
8	second.
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But we were just
10	voting.
11	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We've already voted.
12	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: The vote has been
13	conducted.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: When did you vote?
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Thirty seconds
16	ago.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Hold it.
18	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: There was a vote
19	already?
20	(Laughter.)
21	(Off the record discussion.)
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Several people
23	said aye. Nobody said nay. We're in the middle of
24	the vote.
25	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I completed my vote.
ı	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I see your point now.
2	Michael, the argument is that you were about 30
3	seconds late since some of the commissioners have
4	already voted.
5	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: It's not going to
6	be anything worse in the outcome.
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm making a motion.
8	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We really ought not
9	be calling for motions in the middle of a vote.
LO	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor of
L1	Commissioner Yaki's motion.
L2	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Wait. Wait.
L3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: There is a vote.
L 4	You can't have suddenly a new motion.
L 5	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Here's the issue. The
L 6	issue is that when your speaker is it hard for me
L7	to cut through going through the vote and I'm trying
L 8	to get your attention. It's hard to do so on the
L 9	phone.
20	(Off the record discussion.)
21	COMMISSIONER YAKI: When you're rolling
22	through that vote, I started saying, "Hello. Hello.
23	Wait. Wait." You had rolled through the vote
24	before I could express what it was that I was trying

to say. It's one of the crazy features of how these

speaker phones, these conference call phones tend to work. Sometimes depending on who is speaking or what mike is on, I can't get through.

So if you choose to stand on the fact that I was attempting to get through but could not because the volume was dominated by everyone else on the phone, I'm talking at the same time about getting the vote through, then please be my guest. But apparently there have been times when we have because other people have referred back, we have either redone the vote or refashioned the vote because someone missed it for five seconds because of the way these particular phones work. If you choose to stand on your ceremony and do it, so be it. But you do whatever you do. I'm just telling you the facts of how these phone systems tend to work.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Folks, options?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Vote on his motion.

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Right.

VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Okay. We were in the middle of voting on another motion. I just want to know procedurally. I want to follow correct procedure here. So how is the fact that we were in the middle of voting already undone?

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Let's call the
2	parliamentarian. Emma, would you please give us some
3	guidance here?
4	PARLIAMENTARIAN MONROIG: I think that you
5	were already voting. So that the time has passed to
6	making amendments to the vote.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right.
8	Michael.
9	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Listen. I vote on
10	the prevailing side. Let's do it again. Can I get a
11	second?
12	(Off the record comment.)
13	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Wait a minute.
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I don't think you
15	really can do anything other than take the no votes
16	now. You can then make a motion which then has to be
17	carried. It's not going to be one of those motions
18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No. That's why I'm
19	making Right.
20	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We have to finish
21	this vote and I just said
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Okay. We finish the
23	vote. Go ahead.
24	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: No, we haven't
25	finished the vote. There was no

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any objections?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Anyone in opposition?
4	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I am.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki?
6	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you oppose this
8	motion?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Which motion?
10	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Commissioner Yaki,
11	we are trying to The Parliamentarian has just told
12	us we have to go through this vote. It was already
13	begun. We will then deal with
14	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: You can make a
15	motion to reconsider.
16	(Several speaking at once.)
17	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Restate the
18	motion. Maybe he's not clear what we're voting on.
19	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: We are voting on
20	accepting this SAC. You can then move after we have
21	had this vote to reconsider.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I can't move to
23	reconsider because I won't be on the prevailing side.
24	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That's why I was
25	saying I would be on the prevailing side and I'll make
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	the motion and you can second it. That's what I was
2	saying.
3	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: That will do it.
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that satisfactory?
5	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: It doesn't matter.
6	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Just do it.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Yaki,
8	what's your How do you vote? Commissioner Yaki?
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: How do you vote?
11	COMMISSIONER YAKI: About what?
12	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: About the proposed
13	Well, the state of candidates for the Hawaii SAC.
14	COMMISSIONER YAKI: No.
15	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that a no?
16	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I think it's a no.
17	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: No. Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right. So
19	please let the record reflect that Commissioners
20	Melendez and Yaki voted against the motion. The
21	remaining commissioners voted in support of it. The
22	motion carries.
23	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I move to reconsider
24	having been on the prevailing side.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Second.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.
Commissioner Yaki, this is your opportunity.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: I move to sever
William Burgess from the State Advisory Committee for
a separate vote.
VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion.
COMMISSIONER YAKI: Yes. I think that
William Burgess as he testified before us testified in
ways that were not completely truthful. I believe
that he distorted facts. I would also move that we
disapprove his nomination to the Hawaii SAC.
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry
COMMISSIONER HERIOT: I think we have to
vote on the motion to reconsider than to vote on for
him to make that motion. But you can make your
argument now as to the reason that we should
reconsider.
reconsider. COMMISSIONER YAKI: We did the
COMMISSIONER YAKI: We did the
COMMISSIONER YAKI: We did the reconsideration which is why the Chair was asking me.

You can argue that we need to have this separate vote on Burgess.

CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: There was a second. There was a motion for reconsideration.

(Several speaking at once.)

COMMISSIONER YAKI: Part of the problem is doing over the phone and the part of the fact is that I can't get there because I had another problem I had to deal with is the fact that things get garbled in the transmission especially when more than one person is talking. I could not determine whether or not the chair had said that the motion for reconsideration had passed and then we had a further motion, especially when you ask me when I'm not part of the motion for reconsideration.

But if we're talking about the motion for reconsideration, Professor Heriot, then I think that we need to reconsider this because we need to consider whether or not William Burgess is worthy of sitting on the State Advisory Commission given the fact that even though he was a panelist at our hearing. One of the reasons why we stripped the statement of facts and findings from the Hawaiian statutory report was because much of what he said simply did not comport with any truth as is known by the history books and I

1	think a person of that caliber shouldn't be on the
2	state advisory committee. Hence the need to
3	reconsider this vote so we can sever him for a
4	separate vote and for removal from the SAC.
5	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you have anything
6	else to add?
7	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Not that I know of.
8	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Do you have anything
9	to add on the merits?
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We're not on the
11	merits. We're talking about a motion for
12	reconsideration.
13	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Mr. Melendez.
14	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: I agree with
15	Commissioner Yaki. I think we need to remove Mr.
16	Burgess.
17	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm curious as to
18	what specific inaccurate or untruthful statements he
19	made during the hearing.
20	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would say that the
21	references to the one thing that I would add; that
22	there were several statements made with regard to the
23	reports issued by the U.S. Government regarding their
24	findings regarding the overthrowing of Queen

Lili'uokalani and I believe that if I recall correctly

1	he was He kept on citing this so-called, I can't
2	remember what it was, Stanford or some other kind of
3	report that most historians find to be incredible at
4	best in terms of its captured rendition of what was
5	going on or wasn't going on during the time of the
6	overthrow of Queen Lili-uokalani.
7	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Is that your sole
8	basis for wanting him off the for not including him
9	on the SAC?
10	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Since we're not going
11	to knock someone off because of the political
12	viewpoints, I think that integrity is certainly
13	something that I would have concerns about.
14	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, it's not been
15	demonstrated, at least, to my satisfaction that he has
16	intentionally misstated any facts and
17	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Then I would ask that
18	we hold his approval until next meeting at which time
19	I will present to you a document I believe were his
20	misstatements of fact at the time.
21	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any other comments?
22	(No response.)
23	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. At this point,
24	let's vote. All in favor of the motion Which
25	motion are we talking about now?

1 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We have to vote on 2 the motion to reconsider. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 3 Okay. All those in 4 favor of the motion to reconsider please say aye. 5 (One aye.) 6 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: All voting against it? 7 (Chorus of nays.) 8 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let the record reflect 11 that --12 COMMISSIONER HERIOT: Jennifer Braceras 13 has stepped out for a moment. So she did not vote. 14 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: 15 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And I'm going to 16 abstain having made the motion. I don't want to vote 17 against it. So I'll just abstain. CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let the record reflect 18 19 that Commissioners Yaki and Melendez voted in favor of 20 Commissioner Kirsanow, Chair the motion. Vice 21 the Chairman Thernstrom and voted against and 22 Commissioner Heriot voted against it and let the 23 record reflect that Commissioner Braceras was absent 24 from the vote.

1 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: She could add her 2 vote at this point. 3 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And Taylor abstains. 4 VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: The question is --5 (Off the record comments.) 6 REYNOLDS: Okay. CHAIRMAN And 7 Commissioner Taylor abstained. So on to Indiana. 8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Mr. Chair. 9 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Before we move 11 Indiana, (1) I'm going to ask that on the agenda for 12 August that I be allowed to make a presentation on the 13 SAC membership; (2) I would like to be put on the 14 agenda that I be appointed to the California SAC. 15 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry. Please 16 repeat your statement. 17 COMMISSIONER YAKI: I would like 18 discussion on the August agenda of the criteria for 19 SAC membership (1) and (2) I would like to be put on 20 the agenda, a motion for myself to be appointed to the 21 California State Advisory Committee. 22 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. The second 23 issue, I don't think you need a motion for that. 24 first, do you want to discuss SAC issues in general? 25 Do you want to revisit the vote that we just had?

1	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I just want to talk
2	about SAC membership criteria in general.
3	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: But you, in
4	addition, as a separate matter want to be on the
5	California SAC.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
7	Yaki, just make a motion to amend the agenda at the
8	next meeting and we'll deal with it then.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We haven't put the
10	agenda together. So why should I make a motion to
11	amend the agenda? I'm asking that I be made a part of
12	the agenda.
13	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Just take it under
14	advisement and move on.
15	COMMISSIONER YAKI: We've not set the
16	agenda for August yet.
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Well, actually, we
18	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Take it under
19	advisement. Move on.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Commissioner
21	Yaki, I'll take it under advisement and I will get
22	back to you.
23	VI. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUES - INDIANA SAC
24	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. I move to re-
25	charter the Indiana State Advisory Committee. Under

this motion, the Commission appoints the	e following
individuals to that committee based	l on the
recommendations of the Staff : Paula Park	cer-Sawyers,
Teri Cardwell, Paul Chase, Elizabeth	Cierznick,
Stephanie DeKemper, Christopher Dougl	.as, Susan
Fuldaeur, David Hoffman, Jeffery Kimr	mell, Tony
Kirkland, Patti O'Callaghan and Caprishe	eus Oliver.
Out of this motion, the Commission appo	oints Paula
Parker-Sawyers as the Chairperson of the	newly re-
chartered Indiana State Advisory Committe	ee. These
members will serve as uncompensated	government
employees.	
Under this motion, the	Commission
authorizes the Staff Director to ex	xecute the
appropriate paperwork for the appointment.	Is there a
second?	
VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Second.	
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?	
COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes.	I have
discussion. Commissioner Melendez here.	
CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.	
COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: My un	nderstanding
is there is only two people that were reapp	ointed from
the old advisory board. Is that right?	

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I believe that's correct.

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. Elizabeth Cierznick, I don't find a lot of demonstrated interest in civil rights in her application also and could you explain why she was actually put on the board?

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Let me look at her paperwork.

(Off the record discussion.)

COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: She may not have a complete application also. We're looking through it and it looks like there may be a page or two missing or is that it?

STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Ms. Cierznick is an experienced attorney. She also does lobbying work and is apparently knowledgeable about the state and local government within that state. She has a legal background as a summa cum laude graduate of Indiana University School of She has particular Law. expertise involving education issues. For instance, she has represented Indianapolis Public School District, the largest public school district in the She also has significant involvement within the community including with the Urban Mission YMCA,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 the Girl Scouts and other organizations within the 2 state. COMMISSIONER YAKI: That means, of course, 3 4 she would recuse herself from petty any school related 5 issues that Ms. Cierznick would consider. 6 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Do the schools 7 recuse themselves? 8 COMMISSIONER YAKI: She represents them 9 She represents the school district and other for pay. 10 clients. Ι assume client which that any 11 represents for pay that could be an issue before the 12 SAC she would have to recuse herself. 13 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: But that would also have to be true of people for the NAACP or other 14 15 groups would have to recuse themselves on issues that 16 those interest groups opine on because if they work 17 for them and are paid by them they should recuse 18 themselves. 19 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: These decisions should 21 be made on a case by case decision. We need to have a 22 concrete set of facts before us before we decide, 23 before the SAC decides, when a recusal is appropriate. 24 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And we are seeking 25 to reappoint the chair. Right?

1 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: The chair as I 2 recall is term limited and we are seeking to name as chair a person who has previously served as a member 3 4 of the committee. 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez. 6 COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes. Christopher 7 Douglas, can you tell me about him? I don't see a lot 8 of experience there also. 9 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Mr. Douglas does 10 significant civil rights experience Τ have 11 understand it involving civil rights within the State 12 He was involved in advocating on behalf Indiana. 13 of either hate crimes or related legislation within 14 the legislature. He was particularly concerned as I 15 understand it with gay and lesbian rights during that 16 discussion but has been more broadly active in the 17 community. Не --18 COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: He founded the 19 Rainbow Chamber of Commerce as well as the Indiana Log 20 Cabin Republicans. Is that good enough? 21 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: He also is the 22 founding steering committee member of the Interfaith 23 Coalition on Nondiscrimination and I believe he has

additional civil rights background beyond what's here.

1	He was a policy director of Justice, Inc. which is a
2	civil rights organization within the State of Indiana.
3	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. And the
4	last one, Caprisheus Oliver.
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: She was just added
6	arbitrarily.
7	COMMISSIONER HERIOT: We liked her name.
8	(Laughter.)
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: It was capricious
10	decision.
11	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I can't say that I
12	have a I can't say that I recall speaking with her
13	or have much in-depth recollection. She does describe
14	her participation in the National Association of Black
15	Social Workers and other work with social workers and
16	
17	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: She's worked with the
18	disability community.
19	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: That's right.
20	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Also she has been
21	involved with the Indianapolis Public School System.
22	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: She's involved
23	with people including young people diagnosed with
24	mental health issues and has other knowledge and
25	involvement dealing with mental disability including

1	schizophrenia and especially dealing with youth with
2	schizophrenia or dual diagnoses.
3	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Kind of weak to
4	me, but anyway
5	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: How so?
6	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Well, I don't
7	know. Because I was looking more for civil rights
8	experience. Some people are
9	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Disability rights
10	aren't civil rights to you because that
11	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Some people work
12	as social workers and all those. That's their
13	occupation but not necessarily that they're civil
14	rights advocates.
15	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: I got the
16	impression she was a disability rights advocate.
17	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: Also there is a
18	problem here of what you would call an advocate and I
19	would call an advocate. You probably would not call
20	me an advocate. I would call me an advocate. So
21	we're getting into kind of semantic problems here.
22	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'd call you a zealot,
23	Abby.
24	VICE-CHAIR THERNSTROM: I'll take that as
25	a compliment, Commissioner Yaki.
	i de la companya de

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: You should. 2 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor 3 please say aye. 4 (Chorus of ayes.) 5 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions? 6 Objections? 7 (Two opposed.) CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Let the record reflect 8 9 that Commissioners Yaki and Melendez voted against the 10 The remaining commissioners voted in favor. motion. The motion carries. 11 12 VI. STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ISSUE-PENNSYLVANIA SAC 13 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Next up we have -- I 14 move to re-charter the Pennsylvania State Advisory 15 Committee. Under this motion, the Commission appoints 16 the following individuals to that committee based on 17 the recommendations of the Staff Director: James 18 Richard Bockol, Imja Park Frazier, Choi, Craiq 19 Steven Irwin, David Porter, Faye Ritter, Hymowitz, 20 William Rothman, Anthony Stevens-Arrouo, Connie Tarr 21 and Cameil Williams. 22 Under this motion, the Commission appoints 23 James Frazier as the chairperson of the newly re-24 chartered Pennsylvania State Advisory Committee.

These members will serve as uncompensated government

1	employees. Under this motion, the Commission
2	authorizes the Staff Director to execute the
3	appropriate paperwork for the appointment. Is there a
4	second?
5	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Discussion?
7	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: This is
8	Pennsylvania?
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Right. The only -
11	- David Porter doesn't look like he has a lot of civil
12	rights advocacy even though he's had a couple of
13	cases, occasional cases, but that's about it.
14	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Mr. Porter is an
15	experienced attorney who as I recall has
16	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Which firm was he
17	in? Buchanan Ingersoll.
18	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Buchanan
19	Ingersoll, yes.
20	COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I know Mr. Porter
21	and actually debated with him. He's done considerable
22	amount of work with respect to 14th Amendment cases,
23	school or I'm sorry. Collegiate level affirmative
24	action cases. He My understanding is

1 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Could you get closer 2 to your microphone because I can't hear you. 3 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: He's done as I 4 recall considerable amount of litigation with respect 5 to 14th Amendment cases. If I'm not mistaken, if I 6 recall this correctly and my recollection is I debated 7 him a few years ago and if his bio is as I presume, he 8 also clerked for Judge Rehnquist. 9 COMMISSIONER YAKI: Someone is rattling 10 papers and I cannot hear a word that you're saying. STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: He clerked for 11 12 Judge Smith. 13 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: He's with Okay. 14 Buchanan Ingersoll. Correct? 15 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: That's correct. 16 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: I'm pretty sure 17 this is the guy I'm talking about. I'm not sure if he 18 left off, but understood him to have that Ι 19 considerable civil rights experience. 20 STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Yes. He handled 21 civil rights cases from both the plaintiffs 22 defendants cases as well as election law matters and 23 Commissioner Kirsanow is correct that his litigation 24 has included 4th Amendment issues.

1	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: Fourteenth he
2	said.
3	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Fourteenth.
4	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: Fourteenth, I
5	meant to say.
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: And he's also part-
7	time at the Justice Department on a voting rights act
8	project.
9	COMMISSIONER YAKI: Which one?
10	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: That I don't know.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Commissioner Melendez.
12	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: The last one is
13	Faye Ritter. Even though it says that she was a
14	member of the NAACP until 1989. It doesn't appear
15	that she's done anything, any activity, in the last 18
16	years.
17	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I believe she's a
18	member of the Hispanic Forum as well as National
19	Association of Women in Business and National
20	Conference for Christians and Jews where she serves on
21	the board of directors. So she has continued to do
22	work in issues that are related to the committee.
23	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Okay. That's all
24	I had.

1	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All in favor
2	please say aye.
3	(Chorus of ayes.)
4	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Any abstentions?
5	(One abstention.)
6	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: I'm sorry.
7	Commissioner Yaki, you abstained?
8	COMMISSIONER YAKI: I'm voting no.
9	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. And
10	COMMISSIONER MELENDEZ: Yes, I'll vote no.
11	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. Please let the
12	record reflect that Commissioners Yaki and Melendez
13	voted against the motion. The remaining commissioners
14	voted for it. The motion passes.
15	At this point, we will go into the closed
16	portion of the meeting. So I would ask anyone who is
17	not an employee of the Commission to please leave the
18	room.
19	(Off the record discussion.)
20	STAFF DIRECTOR MARCUS: I believe that
21	there is a motion that will be made in public for the
22	record.
23	COMMISSIONER BRACERAS: This is an
24	Executive session.
25	CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Off the record.
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1 (Whereupon, at 12:40 p.m., the above-2 entitled matter recessed to reconvene at 1:12 p.m. the same day.) 3 4 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: On the record. 5 If there are not further questions 6 to 45 CFR 702.54, the Staff comments, pursuant 7 Director within one working day of this vote, 8 business day of this vote, shall make publicly 9 available in a place easily accessible to the public 10 a written copy of this vote reflecting the vote of 11 each commissioner. Okay. Am I done? We can adjourn 12 this meeting. 13 COMMISSIONER KIRSANOW: Let's go on the 14 I just want to correct the record with record. 15 respect to the question that Mr. Melendez raised with 16 David Porter of the Pennsylvania SAC. I did debate 17 that disqualifies him, not that 18 mistaken as to the person who did that. He actually 19 set up the debate. 20 CHAIRMAN REYNOLDS: Okay. All right, 21 folks. We're done. Off the record. 22 (Whereupon, at 1:13 p.m., the above-23 entitled matter was concluded.)